# CONVEX MONOTONE SEMIGROUPS ON LATTICES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

ROBERT DENK, MICHAEL KUPPER, AND MAX NENDEL

ABSTRACT. We consider convex monotone semigroups on a Banach lattice, which is assumed to be a Riesz subspace of a  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice with an additional assumption on the dual space. As typical examples, we consider the space of bounded uniformly continuous functions and the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity. We show that the domain of the classical generator for convex monotone  $C_0$ -semigroups, which is defined in terms of the time derivative at 0 w.r.t. the supremum norm, is typically not invariant. We thus propose alternative forms of generators and domains, for which we prove the invariance under the semigroup. As a consequence, we obtain the uniqueness of the semigroup in terms of an extended version of the generator. The results are discussed in several examples related to fully nonlinear partial differential equations, such as uncertain shift semigroups and semigroups related to G-heat equations (fully nonlinear versions of the heat equation). Key words: Convex semigroup, nonlinear Cauchy problem, fully nonlinear PDE, uniqueness, Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: Primary 47H20; Secondary 35A02; 35F21

### 1. Introduction

The topic of model uncertainty or ambiguity in the fields of Mathematical Economics and Mathematical Finance has been extensively studied in the past decades. Hereby, a particular focus has been put on parameter uncertainty of stochastic processes describing the evolution of an underling asset. Examples include a Brownian motion with drift uncertainty (cf. Coquet et al. [6]) or volatility uncertainty (cf. Peng [31],[32]), a Black-Scholes model with volatility uncertainty (cf. Avellaneda et al. [2], Epstein and Ji [15], Vorbrink [36]), and Lévy processes with uncertainty in the Lévy triplet (cf. Hu and Peng [20], Neufeld and Nutz [28], Hollender [19], Kühn [23]). The aforementioned examples lead to nonlinear, more precisely, convex Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman-type partial differential equations. In the case of a Brownian Motion with uncertain volatility within an interval  $[\underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}]$  with  $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma}$  (cf. Section 4.2), this leads, for instance, to the HJB equation

$$\partial_t y(t, u) = \sup_{\sigma \in [\sigma, \overline{\sigma}]} \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{uu} y(t, u) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0 \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (1.1)

The latter is typically referred to as G-heat equation, and their solutions (for different initial values) can be represented by means of the so-called G-expectation, cf. [31, 32]. Moreover, the G-heat equation (1.1) is intimately related to a stochastic optimal control problem with control set  $[\underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}]$ . We refer to Denis et al. [10] for a detailed illustration of this relation.

Date: October 8, 2020.

Financial support through the German Research Foundation via CRC 1283 is gratefully acknowledged by the third author. We thank Daniel Bartl, Jonas Blessing, Liming Yin and José Miguel Zapata García for helpful discussions and comments.

Inspired by a construction of Nisio [29], abstract versions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations

$$\partial_t y = \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_{\lambda} y,\tag{1.2}$$

for a suitable family  $(A_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$  of generators and a nonempty control set  $\Lambda$ , have been studied using a semigroup-theoretic approach, cf. Denk et al. [14] and Nendel and Röckner [27]. We would like to point out, choosing  $A_{\lambda} := \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \partial_{uu}$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda := [\underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}]$ , the G-heat equation (1.1) is of the form (1.2).

From a semigroup-theoretic perspective it is therefore very natural to try relate convex differential equations  $\partial_t y - Ay = 0$ , such as the G-heat equation (1.1) or more general Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations of the form (1.2) with  $Ay = \sup_{\lambda} A_{\lambda} y$ , to convex semigroups, where the semigroup property is the abstract analogon to the dynamic programming principle of the related optimal control problem. One classical approach to treat such fully nonlinear equations uses the theory of maximal monotone or m-accretive operators (see, e.g., Barbu [3], Bénilan and Crandall [4], Brézis [5], Evans [16], Kato [21], and the references therein). To show that an accretive operator is m-accretive, one has to prove that 1 + hA is surjective for h > 0, and in many cases it is quite delicate to verify this condition (see Example 4.2). Moreover, it is known that m-accretive operators lead to the existence of a mild solution, but the existence of strong solutions is only known under additional assumptions on the underlying Banach space, including reflexivity (see [3, Section 4.1]). In terms of nonlinear semigroups, this means that even if the initial value is smooth, the solution (i.e., the semigroup applied to the initial value) does not belong to the domain of the operator for positive time, so the domain of the operator is not invariant under the semigroup (see, e.g., [8, Section 4], or Example 4.4 below).

One approach to deal with this problem is to consider more general solution concepts, and in fact, this was one of the reasons for the introduction of viscosity solutions, cf. Crandall et al. [7], Crandall and Lions [9], and the discussion in Evans [16, Section 4]. On the other hand, one can construct operators with larger domains, which are invariant under the semigroup, in this way also obtaining regularity of the solution of the Cauchy problem. This is one of the topics of the present paper. We study convex monotone semigroups on spaces of continuous functions and construct invariant domains with a particular interest in the regularity and uniqueness of the solution. Here, the main object and the starting point of our investigation is the nonlinear semigroup. We point out that, in the context of optimal control theory, the uniqueness and regularity of solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations are fundamental in order to come up with verification theorems; ensuring that the solution to the HJB equation is in fact the value function of an optimal control problem, cf. Fleming and Soner [18], Pham [34], and Yong and Zhou [37].

We consider convex monotone  $C_0$ -semigroups  $S = (S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  on Banach lattices and their generator A defined by

$$Ax := \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{S(h)x - x}{h}$$
 for  $x \in D(A)$ ,

where  $D(A) := \{x \in X : \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{S(h)x-x}{h} \text{ exists}\}$ . Throughout, we consider the case where X is a Riesz subspace of some Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Riesz space  $\overline{X}$  with an additional property on the dual space. Typical examples for X are the space BUC of all bounded uniformly continuous functions, the space  $C_0$  of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity, or spaces of uniformly continuous functions with certain growth at

infinity. We focus on monotone semigroups that are continuous from above, meaning that  $S(t)x_n \downarrow 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , whenever  $x_n \downarrow 0$ . This additional continuity property allows to extend the semigroup to

$$X_{\delta} := \{x \in \overline{X} : x_n \downarrow x \text{ for some bounded sequence } (x_n)_n \text{ in } X\}.$$

In general, the domain D(A) is not invariant under the semigroup S. However, the invariance can be achieved by extending the generator. Inspired by results on convex semigroups in  $L^p$ -like spaces in Denk et al. [13], we define the domain  $D(A_{\delta})$  of the monotone generator  $A_{\delta}$  as the set of all  $x \in X$  such that, for every sequence  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0,\infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , there exists an approximating sequence  $(y_n)_n$  in X such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - y_n \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_n \downarrow y =: A_{\delta}x.$$

The main results in Sections 2 and 3 state that a convex monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup leaves the domain  $D(A_{\delta})$  of its monotone generator invariant (Theorem 2.6), and that the semigroup is uniquely determined by  $A_{\delta}$  on  $D(A_{\delta})$  if, in addition, the semigroup is continuous from above (Corollary 3.2).

We also study even weaker forms of domains requiring only the local Lipschitz continuity of the map  $t \mapsto S(t)x$ , or, in other words, a weak Sobolev regularity of the map  $t \mapsto S(t)x$ , i.e., for every continuous linear functional  $\mu$ , the map  $(t \mapsto \mu S(t)x) \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}([0,\infty))$ . These domains are shown to be invariant as well, and we discuss their relation to one another. In Section 4, we consider the example of the uncertain shift semigroup, which corresponds to the fully nonlinear PDE

$$\partial_t y(t, u) = |\partial_u y(t, u)|, \quad y(0, \cdot) = x. \tag{1.3}$$

Here, the nonlinear operator is given by  $Ay = |\partial_u y|$ . In that case, it holds BUC<sup>1</sup>  $\subset D(A_{\delta}) \subset W^{1,\infty}$  and  $W^{1,\infty}$  is invariant under the corresponding semigroup. Note that (1.3) is a special case of the Hamilton-Jacobi PDE, where under appropriate conditions on the nonlinearity the viscosity solution is given by the Hopf-Lax formula (see, e.g., [17, Section 3.3], [25, Section 11.1]).

Similarly, for the second-order differential operator  $Ay = \frac{1}{2} \max\{\underline{\sigma}\partial_{uu}y, \overline{\sigma}\partial_{uu}y\}$ , where  $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma}$ , we derive that  $W^{2,\infty}$  is invariant under the respective semigroup, which corresponds to the G-heat equation. We remark that in the parabolic situation  $\underline{\sigma} > 0$  many results on the solvability of this second-order fully nonlinear equation in Sobolev and Hölder spaces were obtained by Krylov, see [22, Chapters 12 and 13].

Assumptions and notation. Throughout this article, we assume that X is a real Banach lattice which is a Riesz subspace of a Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Riesz space  $\overline{X}$ . A typical example is the space BUC as a subspace of the space  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  of all bounded measurable functions. We denote by X' the dual space of X, i.e., the space of all continuous linear functionals  $X \to \mathbb{R}$ . For a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in X, we write  $x_n \downarrow x$  if  $(x_n)_n$  is decreasing, bounded from below, and  $x = \inf_n x_n \in \overline{X}$ . We define

$$X_{\delta} := \{ x \in \overline{X} : x_n \downarrow x \text{ for some sequence } (x_n)_n \text{ in } X \}.$$

Let M be the space of all positive linear functionals  $\mu \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$  which are continuous from above, i.e.  $\mu x_n \downarrow 0$  for every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in X such that  $x_n \downarrow 0$ . Every  $\mu \in M$  has a unique extension  $\mu \colon X_\delta \to \mathbb{R}$  which is continuous from above, i.e.  $\mu x_n \downarrow \mu x$  for every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X_\delta$  such that  $x_n \downarrow x \in X_\delta$ , see e.g. [12, Lemma 3.9]. We assume that the set M separates the points of  $X_\delta$ , i.e. for every  $x, y \in X_\delta$  with  $x \neq y$  there

exists some  $\mu \in M$  with  $\mu x \neq \mu y$ . For an operator  $S: X \to X$ , we define

$$||S||_r := \sup_{x \in B(0,r)} ||Sx||$$

for all r > 0, where  $B(x_0, r) := \{x \in X : ||x - x_0|| \le r\}$  for  $x_0 \in X$ . We say that an operator  $S \colon X \to X$  is convex if  $S(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda Sx + (1 - \lambda)Sy$  for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , positive homogeneous if  $S(\lambda x) = \lambda Sx$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , sublinear if S is convex and positive homogeneous, monotone if  $x \le y$  implies  $Sx \le Sy$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and bounded if  $||S||_r < \infty$  for all r > 0.

We consider a convex  $C_0$ -semigroup S on X, i.e., a family  $S = (S(t))_{t\geq 0}$  of bounded operators  $X \to X$  satisfying

- (S1) S(0)x = x for all  $x \in X$ ,
- (S2) S(t+s)x = S(t)S(s)x for all  $x \in X$  and  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ ,
- (S3)  $S(t)x \to x$  as  $t \downarrow 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We say that S is monotone, convex, or sublinear if S(t) is monotone, convex, or sublinear for all  $t \geq 0$ , respectively. For  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in X$ , we define the convex operator  $S_x(t): X \to X$  by

$$S_x(t)y := S(t)(x+y) - S(t)x.$$

### 2. Invariant domains

In this section, we discuss the invariance of various notions of generators and domains. We start with a notion of continuity, which we will require on several occasions.

**Definition 2.1.** A monotone semigroup S is called *continuous from above* if  $S(t)x_n \downarrow S(t)0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and every sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in X with  $x_n \downarrow 0$ .

As before, let S be a convex semigroup on X. In contrast to [13], where the Banach lattice X is Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete with order continuous norm, the domain

$$D(A) := \left\{ x \in X \colon \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \text{ is convergent in } X \text{ for } h \downarrow 0 \right\}$$

is in general not invariant under the semigroup. For instance, for the uncertain semigroup  $(S(t))_{t\in[0,\infty)}$  in Section 4.1, there exists some  $x\in D(A)$  such that  $S(t)x\not\in D(A)$ for some  $t\in(0,\infty)$ . We therefore introduce the following modified versions of the domain.

**Definition 2.2.** The domain  $D(A_{\delta})$  of the monotone generator  $A_{\delta}$  is defined as the set of all  $x \in X$  such that, for every  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , there exists a sequence  $(A_n x)_n$  in X and some  $y \in X_{\delta}$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_n x \downarrow y. \tag{2.1}$$

We define the monotone generator  $A_{\delta} : D(A_{\delta}) \subset X \to X_{\delta}$  of S by  $A_{\delta}x := y$  for  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$ , where y is the limit in (2.1), which is uniquely determined by Lemma B.1.

**Definition 2.3.** The Lipschitz set of the semigroup S is defined as

$$D_L := \left\{ x \in X : \sup_{h \in (0, h_0]} \left\| \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \right\| < \infty \quad \text{for some } h_0 > 0 \right\}.$$
 (2.2)

We further define the symmetric Lipschitz set of the semigroup S by

$$D_L^s := \{ x \in X \colon x, -x \in D_L \}.$$

Let  $W^{1,\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}\big([0,\infty)\big)$  denote the space of all functions  $f\in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}\big([0,\infty)\big)$  with weak derivative  $f'\in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}\big([0,\infty)\big)$ . Recall that  $W^{1,\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}}\big([0,\infty)\big)$  coincides with space of all locally Lipschitz continuous functions  $[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ . The following observation is one of the fundamental ingredients in the proof of Section 3, below.

Remark 2.4. Let  $x \in X$ . Then,  $x \in D(L)$  if and only if

$$(t \mapsto \mu S(t)x) \in W_{loc}^{1,\infty}([0,\infty))$$
 for all  $\mu \in X'$ .

In fact, by Proposition A.4, the map  $[0, \infty) \to X$ ,  $t \mapsto \mu S(t)x$  is locally Lipschitz for every  $x \in D_L$  and  $\mu \in X'$ , which proves one direction of the equivalence. Now, assume that  $(t \mapsto \mu S(t)x) \in W^{1,\infty}_{loc}([0,\infty))$  for all  $\mu \in X'$ . Then, for every  $\mu \in X'$ ,

$$\sup_{h \in (0,1]} \left| \mu \left( \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \right) \right| < \infty.$$

By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, it follows that  $x \in D_L$ . If  $\sup_{t \ge 0} ||S(t)||_r < \infty$  for all  $r \ge 0$ , as, for example, in Section 4.1 and Section 4.2, we obtain that  $x \in D(L)$  if and only if

$$(t \mapsto \mu S(t)x) \in W^{1,\infty}([0,\infty))$$
 for all  $\mu \in X'$ .

We say that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X is  $\sigma$ -order continuous if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\| = 0$  for every decreasing sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} x_n = 0$ . The prime example for a Banach lattice with  $\sigma$ -order continuous norm is the closure  $C_0$  w.r.t. supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  of the space  $C_c$  of all continuous functions  $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  with compact support, where  $\Omega$  is a locally compact metric space. Moreover, we say that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X is order continuous if, for every net  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  with  $x_{\alpha} \downarrow 0$ , we have  $\|x_{\alpha}\| \to 0$ . Notice that order continuity of the norm is, for example, implied by separability of X together with  $Dedekind\ \sigma$ -completeness of X, i.e., any countable non-empty subset of X, which is bounded above, has a supremum, cf. [26, Exercise 2.4.1] or [35, Corollary to Theorem II.5.14]. Typical examples for Banach lattices with order continuous norm are the spaces  $L^p(\mu)$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and an arbitrary measure  $\mu$ , the space  $c_0$  of all sequences vanishing at infinity, and Orlicz spaces. We would like to point out that, due to its strong implications, we avoid order continuity of the norm in the present paper. A detailed study of convex semigroups on Banach lattices with order continuous norm can be found in [13].

We have the following relations between the domains and generators.

**Lemma 2.5.** One has  $D(A) \subset D(A_{\delta}) \subset D_L$ , and  $A_{\delta}|_{D(A)} = A$ . If the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X is  $\sigma$ -order complete, then  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$  with  $A_{\delta}x \in X$  implies  $x \in D(A)$  and  $A_{\delta}x = Ax$ . If the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X is order complete, then  $A_{\delta} = A$ .

*Proof.* We first assume that  $x \in D(A)$ . Then, for every  $h_n \downarrow 0$  and  $A_n x := Ax$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| \to 0,$$

which shows that  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$  with  $A_{\delta}x = Ax$ .

We next assume that  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$ . Then, there exists some  $h_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{h \in (0,h_0]} \left\| \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \right\| < \infty.$$

Otherwise, there exists a sequence  $h_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x-x}{h_n} \right\| \geq n$  for all n. Since  $x \in D(A_\delta)$  there exists a bounded decreasing sequence  $(A_nx)_n$  in X such that  $A_nx \downarrow A_\delta x$  and

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| \to 0.$$

But then,

$$\sup_{n} \left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} \right\| \le \sup_{n} \left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| + \sup_{n} \left\| A_n x \right\| < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. This shows that  $x \in D_L$ . If the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X is order complete and  $x \in D(A_\delta)$  with  $A_\delta x \in X$ , then  $\|A_n x - A_\delta x\| \to 0$ , so that  $\frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} \to A_\delta x$ . If, in addition, X is  $\sigma$ -Dedekind complete, then  $A_\delta x \in X$  for all  $x \in D(A_\delta)$ , which shows that  $A_\delta = A$ .

For every  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X_{\delta}$ , the directional derivative is defined as

$$S'_{+}(t,x)y = \inf_{h>0} \frac{S(t)(x+hy) - S(t)x}{h} \in X_{\delta}.$$

For further details on the directional derivative we refer to Appendix B. The main result of this subsection is that both,  $D(A_{\delta})$  and  $D_L$ , are invariant under the semigroup, and states regularity properties in the time variable t.

**Theorem 2.6.** For every  $x \in D_L$  one has

- (i)  $S(t)x \in D_L$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,
- (ii) for every  $\mu \in M$  there is a locally bounded measurable function  $f_{\mu} \colon [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu S(t)x = \mu x + \int_0^t f_{\mu}(s) \, ds$  for all  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$  and  $t \geq 0$ .

For every  $x \in D(A)$  it holds

- (iii)  $S(t)x \in D(A_{\delta})$  for all  $t \geq 0$  with  $A_{\delta}S(t)x = S'_{+}(t,x)A_{\delta}x$ ,
- (iv)  $\mu S(t)x = \mu x + \int_0^t \mu S'_+(s,x) A_{\delta}x \, ds$  for every  $\mu \in M$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . In particular,  $f_{\mu}(s) = \mu S'_+(s,x) A_{\delta}x$  for almost every  $s \in [0,\infty)$ .

Moreover, (iii) and (iv) hold for all  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$  if, in addition, the semigroup is monotone and continuous from above.

*Proof.* (i) Fix  $t \geq 0$ . By Corollary A.2 there exist  $L \geq 0$  and r > 0 such that

$$||S(t)(y+x) - S(t)x|| \le L||y||$$

for all  $y \in B(x,r)$ . Since  $S(h)x \to x$  as  $h \downarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$\left\|\frac{S(h)S(t)x-S(t)x}{h}\right\| = \left\|\frac{S(t)S(h)x-S(t)x}{h}\right\| \le L\left\|\frac{S(h)x-x}{h}\right\| < \infty$$

for all  $h \in (0, h'_0]$  and some  $h'_0 > 0$ .

(ii) Since  $x \in D_L$ , it follows from Proposition A.4 that the map  $[0, \infty) \to X$ ,  $t \mapsto S(t)x$  is locally Lipschitz continuous. Fix  $\mu \in M$ . Since  $\mu$  is continuous on X, see e.g. [1, Theorem 9.6], the map  $[0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \mapsto \mu S(t)x$  is also locally Lipschitz continuous and is therefore in  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,\infty}([0,\infty))$  by Lebesgue's theorem. That is, there exists a locally bounded measurable function  $f_{\mu} \colon [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu S(t)x = \mu x + \int_0^t f_{\mu}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$ .

(iii) Fix t > 0, let  $(h_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , and  $x \in D(A)$ . By Corollary A.2, there exists some L > 0 such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(t+h_n)x - S(t)x}{h_n} - \frac{S(t)(x+h_nAx) - S(t)x}{h_n} \right\| = \left\| \frac{S(t)S(h_n)x - S(t)(x+h_nAx)}{h_n} \right\|$$

$$\leq L \left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x - h_nAx}{h_n} \right\| = L \left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - Ax \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Moreover, the sequence

$$A_n(S(t)x) := \frac{S(t)(x + h_n Ax) - S(t)x}{h_n}$$

is decreasing and satisfies  $A_n(S(t)x) \downarrow S'_+(t,x)Ax$ . This shows that  $S(t)x \in D(A_\delta)$  with  $A_\delta S(t)x = S'_+(t,x)Ax$ . Recall that  $Ax = A_\delta x$  for all  $x \in D(A)$  by Lemma 2.5.

If in addition, S is monotone, continuous from above, and  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$ , then there exists a bounded decreasing sequence  $(A_n x)_n$  in X such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_n x \downarrow A_{\delta} x.$$

By Corollary A.2, there exists some L > 0 such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(t + h_n)x - S(t)x}{h_n} - \frac{S(t)(x + h_n A_n x) - S(t)x}{h_n} \right\| \le L \left\| \frac{S(h_n)x - x}{h_n} - A_n x \right\| \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . By Lemma B.4, the sequence  $(A_n S(t)x)$  given by

$$A_n S(t)x := \frac{S(t)(x + h_n A_n x) - S(t)x}{h_n}$$

is decreasing and satisfies  $A_nS(t)x\downarrow S'_+(t,x)A_\delta x$ . This shows that  $S(t)x\in D(A_\delta)$  with  $A_\delta S(t)x=S'_+(t,x)A_\delta x$ .

(iv) Since  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$ , it follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $x \in D_L$ . Fix  $\mu \in M$ . By (ii) one has

$$\mu S(t)x = \mu x + \int_0^t f_{\mu}(s) \, ds$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ . In particular,  $t \mapsto \mu S(t)x$  is differentiable almost everywhere. Since  $\mu$  is continuous from above it follows from the previous step (iii) that the derivative is a.e. given by

$$f_{\mu}(t) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mu S(t+h)x - \mu S(t)x}{h} = \mu A_{\delta} S(t)x = \mu S'_{+}(t,x) A_{\delta} x.$$

The proof is complete.

For the symmetric Lipschitz set of a sublinear monotone semigroup, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.7.** Let S be sublinear and monotone. Then, the symmetric Lipschitz set  $D_L^s$  is a linear subspace of X. If

$$-S(s)(-S(t)x) \ge S(t)(-S(s)(-x)) \quad \text{for all } s,t \ge 0 \text{ and } x \in X,$$
 (2.3)

then  $S(t)x \in D_L^s$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in D_L^s$ .

*Proof.* The sublinearity of S implies that

$$S(t)(x + \lambda y) - (x + \lambda y) \le S(t)x - x + \lambda (S(t)y - y)$$

and

$$-S(t)(x + \lambda y) + x + \lambda y \le S(t)(-x) + x + \lambda (S(t)(-y) + y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Consequently,

$$||S(t)(x+\lambda y) - (x+\lambda y)|| \le ||S(t)x - x|| + ||S(t)(-x) + x|| + \lambda (||S(t)y - y|| + ||S(t)(-y) + y||)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , which shows that  $x + \lambda y \in D_L^s$  for all  $x, y \in D_L^s$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Since  $-x \in D_L^s$  for all  $x \in D_L^s$ , it follows that  $D_L^s$  is a linear subspace of X.

Now, let  $x \in D_L^s$  and  $t \ge 0$ . Since S(t) is sublinear and bounded, it is globally Lipschitz with some Lipschitz constant L > 0 (see Lemma A.1). Therefore,

$$||S(h)S(t)x - S(t)x|| \le L||S(h)x - x||,$$

i.e.  $S(t)x \in D_L$ . It remains to show that  $-S(t)x \in D_L$ . First, observe that

$$-S(t)x - S(h)(-S(t)x) \le -S(t)x + S(h)S(t)x \le S(t)(S(h)x - x)$$

and, by (2.3),

$$S(h)(-S(t)x) + S(t)x \le -S(t)(-S(t)(-x)) + S(t)x \le S(t)(S(h)(-x) + x).$$

Therefore,

$$||S(h)(-S(t)x) + S(t)x|| \le L(||S(h)x - x|| + ||(S(h)(-x) + x)||)$$

which shows that  $-S(t)x \in D_L$ .

**Example 2.8.** Let S be a translation-invariant sublinear monotone semigroup on the space BUC = BUC(G), where G is an abelian group with a translation invariant metric d such that (G, d) is separable and complete. Here, translation invariant means that

$$(S(t)x(u+\cdot))(0) = (S(t)x)(u)$$
 for all  $x \in BUC$ ,  $u \in G$  and  $t \ge 0$ .

The space BUC of all bounded uniformly continuous functions  $x \colon G \to \mathbb{R}$  is endowed with the supremum norm  $||x||_{\infty} := \sup_{u \in G} |x(u)|$ . Under mild continuity assumptions, the semigroup has a dual representation

$$(S(t)x)(u) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_t} \int_G x(u+v) \,\mathrm{d}\mu_t(v) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathrm{BUC}, \ u \in G \text{ and } t \ge 0. \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_t$  is a convex set of Borel measures on G for all  $t \geq 0$ . For further details on dual representations we refer to [12] and, for further examples, we refer to [14]. Notice that, under (2.4),

$$-(S(t)(-x))(u) = \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_t} \int_G x(u+v) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_t(v) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathrm{BUC}, \ u \in G \text{ and } t \ge 0.$$

Then, for  $x \in BUC$ ,  $u \in G$ ,  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and  $\mu_s \in \mathcal{P}_s$ , it follows from (2.4) and Fubini's theorem that

$$\int_{G} (S(t)x)(u+v) d\mu_{s}(v) \ge \int_{G} \int_{G} x(u+v+w) d\mu_{t}(w) d\mu_{s}(v)$$

$$= \int_{G} \int_{G} x(u+v+w) d\mu_{s}(v) d\mu_{t}(w)$$

$$\ge \int_{G} -(S(s)(-x))(u+w) d\mu_{t}(w).$$

Taking the infimum over all  $\mu_s \in \mathcal{P}_t$  and supremum over all  $\mu_t \in \mathcal{P}_s$  yields

$$-S(s)(-S(t)x) \ge S(t)(-S(s)(x)).$$

By Proposition 2.7, we thus find that  $D_L^s$  is S(t)-invariant for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Remark 2.9. Consider the setup of the previous example. Given  $C \geq 0$  and  $h_0 > 0$ , let  $D_L^s(C, h_0)$  denote the set of all  $x \in D_L^s$  such that  $||S(h)x - x||_{\infty} \leq Ch$  and  $||S(h)(-x) + x||_{\infty} \leq Ch$  for all  $h \in [0, h_0]$ . Let  $x \in D_L^s(C, h_0)$  and  $\nu$  be a Borel probability measure on G. Then, one has  $x_{\nu} \in D_L^s(C, h_0)$ , where  $x_{\nu}(u) := \int_G x(u + v) \nu(dv)$ . In fact, by a Banach space valued version of Jensen's inequality (see e.g. [14] or [27]) and the translation invariance of S,

$$S(h)x_{\nu} - x_{\nu} = S(h) \left( \int_{G} x(\cdot + v) \, d\nu(v) \right) - x_{\nu} \le \int_{G} \left( S(h)x \right) (\cdot + v) \, d\nu(v) - x_{\nu}$$
$$= \int_{G} \left( S(h)x \right) (\cdot + v) - x(\cdot + v) \, d\nu(v) \le Ch$$

for all  $h \geq 0$ . In a similar way, it follows that

$$S(h)(-x_{\nu}) + x_{\nu} \le \int_{G} (S(h)(-x))(\cdot + v) + x(\cdot + v) d\nu(v) \le Ch$$

for all  $h \in [0, h_0]$ . Combining these two estimates yields that

$$||S(h)x_{\nu} - x_{\nu}||_{\infty} \le Ch$$
 and  $||S(h)(-x_{\nu}) + x_{\nu}||_{\infty} \le Ch$ 

for all  $h \in [0, h_0]$ , i.e.  $x_{\nu} \in D_L^s(C, h_0)$ .

### 3. Uniqueness

We are now ready to state the main result of this paper. Again, we assume that X is a Banach lattice which is a Riesz subspace of a Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Riesz space  $\bar{X}$  and that the set M separates the points of  $X_{\delta}$  (see Assumptions and Notation). We show that a convex semigroup is uniquely determined on  $D(A_{\delta})$  through its generator  $A_{\delta}$  if the semigroup is, in addition, monotone and continuous from above.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let S be a convex monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup on X which is continuous from above with monotone generator  $A_{\delta}$ . Let  $y: [0, \infty) \to X$  be a continuous function with  $y(t) \in D(A_{\delta})$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , and assume that, for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$ , there exists a bounded decreasing sequence  $(B_n y(t))_n$  in X such that

$$\left\| \frac{y(t+h_n) - y(t)}{h_n} - B_n y(t) \right\| \to 0 \quad and \quad B_n y(t) \downarrow A_{\delta} y(t).$$

Then, y(t) = S(t)x for all  $t \ge 0$ , where x := y(0).

*Proof.* Let t>0 and g(s):=S(t-s)y(s) for all  $s\in[0,t]$ . Fix  $s\in(0,t)$ . For every h>0 with h< t-s one has

$$\begin{split} \frac{g(s+h) - g(s)}{h} &= \frac{S(t-s-h)y(s+h) - S(t-s)y(s)}{h} \\ &= \frac{S(t-s-h)y(s+h) - S(t-s-h)y(s)}{h} \\ &- \frac{S(t-s-h)S(h)y(s) - S(t-s-h)y(s)}{h}. \end{split}$$

Let  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$  and  $\mu \in M$ . By assumption, for  $y := y(s) \in D(A_\delta)$ , there exists a bounded decreasing sequence  $(B_n y)_n$  in X with

$$\left\| \frac{y(s+h_n) - y(s)}{h_n} - B_n y \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_n y \downarrow A_{\delta} y. \tag{3.1}$$

We define

$$\nu_n z := \frac{\mu S(t - s - h_n)(y + h_n z) - \mu S(t - s - h_n)y}{h_n}$$

for all  $z \in X_{\delta}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $t - s - h_n > 0$ , where we take the unique extension of S to  $X_{\delta}$  given by Lemma B.2. Moreover, let

$$\nu z := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \nu_n z$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

We first show that

$$\nu z \le \inf_{h>0} \frac{\mu S(t-s)(y+hz) - \mu S(t-s)y}{h} \quad \text{for all } z \in X.$$
 (3.2)

Indeed, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $h_0 > 0$  and, by Corollary A.3 there exists some  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\inf_{h>0} \frac{\mu S(t-s)(y+hz) - \mu S(t-s)y}{h} + 2\varepsilon \ge \frac{\mu S(t-s)(y+h_0z) - \mu S(t-s)y}{h_0} + \varepsilon \\ \ge \frac{\mu S(t-s-h_m)(y+h_0z) - \mu S(t-s-h_m)y}{h_0}$$

for all  $m \ge m_0$ . Hence, for all  $n \ge m_0$ , which satisfy  $h_n \le h_0$ , one has

$$\inf_{h>0} \frac{\mu S(t-s)(y+hz) - \mu S(t-s)y}{h} + 2\varepsilon$$

$$\geq \frac{\mu S(t-s-h_n)(y+h_nz) - \mu S(t-s-h_n)y}{h_n} = \nu_n z,$$

which shows (3.2) by taking the limit superior as  $n \to \infty$  and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . As a consequence of (3.2), it follows that  $\nu$  is continuous from above (on X). Indeed, for every sequence  $(z_n)_n$  in X with  $z_n \downarrow 0$ , one has

$$0 \le \inf_{n} \nu z_n \le \inf_{h>0} \inf_{n} \frac{\mu S(t-s)(y+hz_n) - \mu S(t-s)y}{h} = 0$$

so that  $\nu z_n \downarrow 0$ . Moreover, by definition,  $\nu z = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{k \ge n} \nu_k z$  for all  $z \in X$ , and therefore  $\nu \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex. By [12, Lemma 3.9],  $\nu$  uniquely extends to a convex monotone functional  $\overline{\nu} \colon X_\delta \to \mathbb{R}$ , which is continuous from above. We next show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \nu_n B_n y = \overline{\nu} A_{\delta} y. \tag{3.3}$$

To that end, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there exist  $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\overline{\nu}A_{\delta}y + 2\varepsilon \ge \overline{\nu}B_{n_0}y + \varepsilon = \nu B_{n_0}y + \varepsilon \ge \nu_m B_{n_0}y \ge \nu_m B_m y$$

for all  $m \geq m_0 \vee n_0$ , where the last inequality follows by monotonicity of  $\nu_m$ . This shows that

$$\overline{\nu}A_{\delta}y \geq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \nu_n B_n y$$

Further,

$$\overline{\nu}A_{\delta}y = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \nu B_m y = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k \geq n} \nu_k B_m y = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k \geq n} \nu_k B_m y$$
$$\leq \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{k > n} \nu_k B_k y = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \nu_n B_n y.$$

By Lemma A.2, there exists some L > 0 such that

$$\left\| \frac{S(t-s-h_n)y(s+h_n) - S(t-s-h_n)(y+h_nB_ny)}{h_n} \right\| \le L \left\| \frac{y(s+h_n) - y}{h_n} - B_ny \right\| \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t - s - h_n)y(s + h_n) - S(t - s - h_n)y}{h_n} \right) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \nu_n B_n y = \overline{\nu} A_{\delta} y. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $y = y(s) \in D(A_{\delta})$ , it follows from (2.1) that there exists a bounded decreasing sequence  $(A_n y)_n$  with

$$\left\| \frac{S(h_n)y - y}{h_n} - A_n y \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_n y \downarrow A_{\delta} y.$$

By the same arguments as before, we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t-s-h_n)S(h_n)y - S(t-s-h_n)y}{h_n} \right) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \nu_n A_n y = \overline{\nu} A_{\delta} y. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence, in combination with (3.4), we get

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t-s-h_n)y(s+h_n) - S(t-s-h_n)y(s)}{h_n} \right)$$

$$= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t-s-h_n)S(h_n)y(s) - S(t-s-h_n)y(s)}{h_n} \right) \tag{3.6}$$

for every sequence  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0,\infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$  and all  $\mu \in M$ . As a consequence, we conclude that

$$\frac{\mu g(s+h_n) - \mu g(s)}{h_n} \to 0 \tag{3.7}$$

for every sequence  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0, \infty)$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$  and all  $\mu \in M$ . Indeed, by passing to a subsequence  $(n_k)_k$ , we may assume that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu g(s + h_n) - \mu g(s)}{h_n} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mu g(s + h_{n_k}) - \mu g(s)}{h_{n_k}}.$$

By passing to another subsequence, which we still denote by  $(n_k)_k$ , we can further assume that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \mu \left( \frac{S(t - s - h_{n_k})S(h_{n_k})y(s) - S(t - s - h_{n_k})y(s)}{h_{n_k}} \right)$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} \sup \mu \left( \frac{S(t - s - h_{n_k})S(h_{n_k})y(s) - S(t - s - h_{n_k})y(s)}{h_{n_k}} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

Then, by applying the equality (3.6) to the subsequence  $(h_{n_k})_k$  we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{\mu g(s + h_n) - \mu g(s)}{h_n} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\mu g(s + h_{n_k}) - \mu g(s)}{h_{n_k}}$$

$$\leq \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t - s - h_{n_k})y(s + h_{n_k}) - S(t - s - h_{n_k})y(s)}{h_{n_k}} \right)$$

$$- \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu \left( \frac{S(t - s - h_{n_k})S(h_{n_k})y(s) - S(t - s - h_{n_k})y(s)}{h_{n_k}} \right) = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from (3.6) and (3.8). With similar arguments, we also obtain  $\lim\inf_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mu g(s+h_n)-\mu g(s)}{h_n}\geq 0$ , which shows (3.7). Since  $\mu$  is continuous on X, see e.g. [1, Theorem 9.6], it follows by the same arguments

Since  $\mu$  is continuous on X, see e.g. [1, Theorem 9.6], it follows by the same arguments as in the proof of [13, Theorem 3.5] that  $s \mapsto \mu g(s)$  is continuous on [0,t]. By [30, Lemma 1.1, Chapter 2], we conclude that the map  $s \mapsto \mu g(s)$  is constant on [0,t], since it is continuous and its right derivative vanishes on [0,t). In particular,  $\mu y(t) = \mu g(t) = \mu g(0) = \mu S(t)y(0)$  for all  $\mu \in M$ . This shows that y(t) = S(t)y(0) as M separates the points of X.

Corollary 3.2. Let S be a convex monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup on X which is continuous from above with monotone generator  $A_{\delta}$ , and let T be a convex  $C_0$ -semigroup on X with generator B and monotone generator  $B_{\delta}$  such that  $B_{\delta} \subset A_{\delta}$ . If  $\overline{D(B)} = X$ , then S(t) = T(t) for all t > 0.

*Proof.* For every  $x \in D(B)$ , the mapping  $y: [0, \infty) \to X$ , y(t) := T(t)x satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Indeed, y(0) = x by definition,  $t \mapsto y(t)$  is continuous by Corollary A.3, and  $y(t) \in D(B_{\delta}) \subset D(A_{\delta})$  by Theorem 2.6 with

$$\left\| \frac{y(t+h_n)-y(t)}{h_n} - B_n y(t) \right\| \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_n y(t) \downarrow B_{\delta} y(t) = A_{\delta} y(t)$$

where  $B_n y(t) := \frac{T(t)(x+h_n Bx)-T(t)x}{h_n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.1, it follows that T(t)x = y(t) = S(t)x for all  $t \geq 0$ . Since, by Lemma A.1, the bounded convex functions T(t) and S(t) are continuous, and  $\overline{D(B)} = X$ , it holds S(t) = T(t) for all  $t \geq 0$ .

# 4. Examples

4.1. The uncertain shift semigroup on BUC. Let G be a convex set endowed with a metric  $d: G \times G \to [0, \infty)$ . We assume that, for every  $u, v \in G$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists some  $\lambda(u, v) \in G$  such that  $d(u, \lambda(u, v)) = \lambda d(u, v)$  and  $d(\lambda(u, v), v) = (1 - \lambda)d(u, v)$ . The space of all bounded uniformly continuous functions  $x: G \to \mathbb{R}$  is denoted by BUC = BUC(G) and endowed with the supremum norm  $||x||_{\infty} := \sup_{u \in G} |x(u)|$ . Notice that BUC is a Riesz subspace of the Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Riesz space  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$  of all bounded Borel measurable functions  $x: G \to \mathbb{R}$ . On  $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ , we consider the partial order  $x \leq y$  whenever  $x(u) \leq y(u)$  for all  $u \in G$ .

The uncertain shift semigroup S on BUC is defined by

$$\big(S(t)x\big)(u):=\sup_{d(u,v)\leq t}x(v)\quad\text{for all }x\in \text{BUC},\ u\in G\text{ and }t\geq 0.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** S is a sublinear monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup on BUC. Moreover,

$$D_L = D_L^s = \text{Lip}_b$$

where  $\text{Lip}_b = \text{Lip}_b(G)$  is the space of all bounded Lipschitz continuous functions  $G \to \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We first show that S(t): BUC  $\to$  BUC is well-defined and bounded. To this end, fix  $x \in$  BUC. Since

$$|S(t)x(u)| \le \sup_{d(u,v) \le t} |x(v)| = ||x||_{\infty}$$
 for all  $u \in G$ ,

it follows that  $||S(t)x||_{\infty} \leq ||x||_{\infty}$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x(u) - x(v)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $u, v \in G$  with  $d(u, v) \leq \delta$ . Let  $u, v \in G$  with  $d(u, v) \leq \delta$  and  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \leq t$ . Then, for  $\lambda := \frac{t}{t+\delta}$ , one has

$$d(v, \lambda(v, w)) = \lambda d(v, w) \le \lambda(t + \delta) = t$$

and

$$d(w, \lambda(v, w)) = (1 - \lambda)d(v, w) \le (1 - \lambda)(t + \delta) = \delta$$

Hence,

$$x(w) - (S(t)x)(v) \le x(w) - x(\lambda(v, w)) \le \varepsilon.$$

Taking the supremum over all  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \leq t$ , it follows that

$$(S(t)x)(u) - (S(t)x)(v) \le \varepsilon.$$

By a symmetry argument, we obtain that  $|S(t)x(u) - S(t)x(v)| \leq \varepsilon$ , showing that S(t)x is uniformly continuous with the same modulus of continuity as x. We thus have shown that  $S(t) \colon \mathrm{BUC} \to \mathrm{BUC}$  is well-defined and bounded. By definition, each S(t) is sublinear and monotone, and S(0)x = x for all  $x \in \mathrm{BUC}$ . Moreover, for  $t \leq \delta$ , one has

$$\left| \left( S(t)x \right)(u) - x(u) \right| \le \sup_{d(u,v) \le t} \left| x(v) - x(u) \right| \le \varepsilon$$

for all  $u \in G$ , i.e.  $||S(t)x - x||_{\infty} \le \varepsilon$  for all  $t \le \delta$ , which shows that S is strongly continuous. It remains to show that S satisfies the semigroup property. Let  $s, t \ge 0$ . Further, let  $u \in G$  and  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \le s + t$ . Then, for  $\lambda := \frac{t}{s+t}$ , it holds

$$d(w, \lambda(u, w)) = (1 - \lambda)d(u, w) \le s$$

and

$$d(u, \lambda(u, w)) = \lambda d(u, w) \le t.$$

Hence,

$$x(w) \leq \sup_{d(\lambda(u,w),v) \leq s} x(v) = \left(S(s)x\right)\left(\lambda(u,w)\right) \leq \sup_{d(u,v) \leq t} \left(S(s)x\right)(v) = \left(S(t)S(s)x\right)(u).$$

Taking the supremum over all  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \le s + t$ , it follows that

$$(S(s+t)x)(u) \le (S(t)S(s)x)(u).$$

Now, let  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \leq t$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(w_n)_n$  in G with  $d(w, w_n) \leq s$  and  $x(w_n) \to (S(s)x)(w)$ . Then,

$$(S(s)x)(w) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x(w_n) \le \sup_{d(u,v) \le s+t} x(v) = (S(s+t)x)(u).$$

Taking the supremum over all  $w \in G$  with  $d(u, w) \leq t$ , yields that

$$(S(t)S(s)x)(u) \le (S(s+t)x)(u).$$

Altogether, we have shown that S is a sublinear monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup on BUC.

Now, let  $x \in D_L$ . Then, there exist  $h_0 > 0$  and  $C \ge 0$  such that  $||S(h)x - x||_{\infty} \le Ch$  for all  $h \in [0, h_0]$ . Hence, for all  $u, v \in G$  with  $d(u, v) =: h \le h_0$ ,

$$x(u) - x(v) \le (S(h)x)(v) - x(v)$$
 and  $x(v) - x(u) \le (S(h)x)(u) - x(u)$ .

This implies that  $|x(u) - x(v)| \le ||S(h)x - x||_{\infty} \le Ch = Cd(u, v)$ . Since  $x \in BUC$  is bounded, it follows that  $x \in \text{Lip}_b$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \text{Lip}_b \subset BUC$  with Lipschitz constant C > 0, it follows that

$$\|(S(h)x)(u) - x(u)\| \le \sup_{d(u,v) \le h} |x(v) - x(u)| \le Cd(u,v) \le Ch$$

for all  $u \in G$  and  $h \ge 0$ . Therefore  $x \in D_L$ . Since  $-x \in \text{Lip}_b$  for all  $x \in \text{Lip}_b$ , it follows that  $\text{Lip}_b \subset D_L^s$ . Since, by definition,  $D_L^s \subset D_L$ , the assertion follows.

We now specialize on the case, where  $G = \mathbb{R}$  with the Euclidean distance d(u, v) = |u - v|. In this case, the uncertain shift semigroup is given by

$$(S(t)x)(u) = \sup_{|v| \le t} x(u+v)$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . By Lemma 4.1, it follows that S is a sublinear monotone  $C_0$ -semigroup on BUC. In addition, by Dini's lemma, it is continuous from above. Denote by  $A_\delta \colon D(A_\delta) \subset \mathrm{BUC} \to \mathrm{BUC}_\delta$  the monotone generator of S. Notice that  $\mathrm{BUC}_\delta$  is the space of all bounded upper semicontinuous functions  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 4.1, we have that  $D_L = D_L^s = W^{1,\infty}$ . Recall that the space of all Lipschitz continuous functions coincides with the space  $W^{1,\infty} = W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of all functions with weak derivative  $x' \in L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure). As usual, we denote by  $\mathrm{BUC}^1 = \mathrm{BUC}^1(\mathbb{R})$  the set of all  $x \in \mathrm{BUC}$  which are differentiable with  $x' \in \mathrm{BUC}$ . From a PDE point of view, one might consider  $\mathrm{BUC}^1$  to be the canonical choice for the domain of the generator of S. However, the following example shows that this does not yield an m-accretive operator.

**Example 4.2.** Let X = BUC and  $B: D(B) \to X$  with Bx := |x'| for  $x \in D(B) := BUC^1$ . Then B is accretive, i.e., for some (equivalently, for any) h > 0, 1 + hB is injective and

$$||(1+hB)^{-1}y_1 - (1+hB)^{-1}y_2|| \le ||y_1 - y_2||$$
 for all  $y_1, y_2 \in R(1+hB)$ .

cf. [3, Proposition 3.1] and [8, Formula (8)]. To see this, let  $x_1, x_2 \in D(B)$  and h > 0. We set  $y := x_1 - x_2$  and choose a sequence  $(u_k)_k$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $|y(u_k)| \to ||y||_{\infty}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . If  $(u_k)_k$  has a finite accumulation point  $u_0$ , then we have  $|y(u_0)| = ||y||_{\infty}$ , and the function y has a local extremum at  $u_0$ . Consequently,  $y'(u_0) = 0$  and therefore  $x'_1(u_0) = x'_2(u_0)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x_1 - x_2 + h(|x_1'| - |x_2'|) \right\|_{\infty} &\ge \left| x_1(u_0) - x_2(u_0) + h(|x_1'(u_0)| - |x_2'(u_0)| \right| \\ &= |x_1(u_0) - x_2(u_0)| = |y(u_0)| = |y||_{\infty} = |x_1 - x_2||_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(u_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  has no finite accumulation point, we may w.l.o.g. assume that  $u_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Moreover, taking a subsequence we may also assume that  $y(u_k) \to \pm ||y||_{\infty}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Again, w.l.o.g. let  $y(u_k) \to ||y||_{\infty}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and choose  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with

$$||y||_{\infty} - \tilde{\varepsilon} \leq y(u_k) \leq ||y||_{\infty}$$
 for all  $k \geq k_0$ ,

where we have set  $\tilde{\varepsilon} := \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2h}\}$ . Let  $\ell_0 > k_0$  with  $u_{\ell_0} \ge u_{k_0} + 1$ . As  $y \in \mathrm{BUC}^1$ , there exists some  $v_0 \in (u_{k_0}, u_{\ell_0})$  with

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} \ge |y(u_{\ell_0}) - y(u_{k_0})| = |y'(v_0)| |u_{\ell_0} - u_{k_0}| \ge |y'(v_0)|.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_1 - x_2 + h(|x_1'| - |x_2'|)||_{\infty} &\ge |x_1(v_0) - x_2(v_0) + h(|x_1'(v_0)| - |x_2'(v_0)|)| \\ &\ge |x_1(v_0) - x_2(v_0)| - h||x_1'(v_0)| - |x_2'(v_0)|| \\ &\ge |x_1(v_0) - x_2(v_0)| - h|x_1'(v_0) - x_2'(v_0)| \\ &= |y(v_0)| - h|y'(v_0)| \ge ||y||_{\infty} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - h \frac{\varepsilon}{2h} = ||x_1 - x_2||_{\infty} - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we see that also in this case the inequality

$$||x_1 - x_2 + h(|x_1'| - |x_2'|)||_{\infty} \ge ||x_1 - x_2||_{\infty}$$

holds, which shows that B is accretive.

However, the operator B is not m-accretive, i.e., the operator 1+hB is not surjective. For this, let h>0, and set  $f(u):=(1-|u|)\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(u)$  for  $u\in\mathbb{R}$ . Assume that there exists some  $x\in D(B)$  with

$$x(u) + h|x'(u)| = f(u) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.1}$$

As f is an even function, we see that the function  $\bar{x}$  defined by  $\bar{x}(u) := x(-u)$  is also a solution of (4.1). As B is accretive, the operator 1 + hB is injective, which shows that  $\bar{x} = x$ , i.e., the solution x is an even function, too. As  $x \in \mathrm{BUC}^1$ , we get x'(0) = 0 and therefore x(0) = f(0) = 1. Now, the differentiability of x leads to a contradiction to  $x(u) \le f(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , which holds by (4.1).

**Proposition 4.3.** Let  $G = \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\mathrm{BUC}^1 \subset D(A) \subset D(A_\delta) \subset D_L = D_L^s = W^{1,\infty}$ . In particular,  $S(t)x \in W^{1,\infty}$  for every  $x \in W^{1,\infty}$  and all  $t \geq 0$ . Further, for  $x \in D(A_\delta)$ , one has  $A_\delta x = |x'|$  almost everywhere.

*Proof.* If  $x \in BUC^1$ , it follows from Taylor's theorem that

$$\left\| \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} - |x'| \right\|_{\infty} \to 0 \quad \text{as } h \downarrow 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 4.1,

$$\mathrm{BUC}^1 \subset D(A) \subset D(A_\delta) \subset D_L = D_L^s = W^{1,\infty}.$$

In particular,  $W^{1,\infty}$  is invariant under the uncertain shift semigroup by Theorem 2.6. Let  $x \in W^{1,\infty}$ . By Rademacher's theorem the function x is differentiable almost everywhere. If x is differentiable at u, then

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\left(S(h)x\right)(u) - x(u)}{h} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \sup_{|v| \le h} \frac{x(u+v) - x(u)}{h} = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \sup_{|v| = h} \frac{x(u+v) - x(u)}{h}$$
$$= |x'(u)|.$$

Since, for  $x \in D(A_{\delta})$ , one has

$$(A_{\delta}x)(u) = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{(S(h)x)(u) - x(u)}{h}$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we conclude that  $A_{\delta}x = |x'|$  almost everywhere. Here, x' is understood as the weak derivative in  $L^{\infty}$ .

The following example shows that, in general, D(A) is not invariant under the semi-group  $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ .

**Example 4.4.** Consider the case  $G = \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $x \in BUC^1$  with

$$x(u) = \begin{cases} u^2, & u \in [0, 2], \\ u^4, & u \in [-2, 0). \end{cases}$$

Then, by Proposition 4.3,  $S(1)x \in D(A_{\delta}) \subset W^{1,\infty}$  with  $A_{\delta}S(1)x = |(S(1)x)'|$ . By definition of S(1),

$$(S(1)x)(u) = \begin{cases} (u+1)^2, & u \in [0,1], \\ (u-1)^4, & u \in [-1,0), \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$(S(1)x)'(u) = \begin{cases} 2(u+1), & u \in (0,1), \\ 4(u-1)^3, & u \in (-1,0). \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $A_{\delta}S(1)x = |(S(1)x)'| \notin BUC$  and, in particular,  $S(1)x \notin D(A)$ .

4.2. The symmetric Lipschitz set of the G-expectation. We consider the G-expectation on BUC = BUC( $\mathbb{R}$ ), which corresponds to the sublinear semigroup

$$(S(t)x)(u) := \sup_{\underline{\sigma} \le \underline{\sigma} \le \overline{\sigma}} \mathbb{E} \left[ x \left( u + \int_0^t \sigma_s \, dW_s \right) \right] \quad \text{for } x \in \text{BUC}, \ u \in G \text{ and } t \ge 0,$$

where W is a Brownian motion on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), \mathbb{P})$  and the supremum is taken over all progressively measurable processes with values in  $[\underline{\sigma}, \overline{\sigma}]$ , see e.g. [11] and [33] for an overview on G-expectations. We assume that  $0 \leq \underline{\sigma} \leq \overline{\sigma}$ . One can verify that S is a translation invariant sublinear  $C_0$ -semigroup on BUC which is continuous from above. Moreover, an application of Itô's formula shows that

$$\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} = \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ \underline{\sigma}x'', \overline{\sigma}x'' \right\}$$

for all  $x \in BUC^2 = BUC^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Fix  $x \in D_L^s$ . By definition of the symmetric Lipschitz set, there exist C > 0 and  $h_0 > 0$  such that  $x \in D_L^s(C, h_0)$ . For every  $\delta > 0$ , define  $x_\delta(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} x(u+v) \nu_\delta(dv)$ , where  $\nu_\delta$  is the normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \delta)$  with mean zero and variance  $\delta$ . Then,  $x_\delta \in \mathrm{BUC}^2$  for all  $\delta > 0$ , and  $||x_\delta - x||_{\infty} \to 0$  as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ . In view of Remark 2.9, one has

$$S(h)x_{\delta} - x_{\delta} \le Ch$$
 and  $-S(h)(-x_{\delta}) - x_{\delta} \ge -Ch$ 

for all  $h \in [0, h_0]$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Hence, letting  $h \downarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}\overline{\sigma}x_{\delta}'' \le C$$
 and  $\frac{1}{2}\underline{\sigma}x_{\delta}'' \ge -C$ .

This shows that  $\|x_{\delta}''\|_{\infty}$  is uniformly bounded in  $\delta > 0$ . Hence, there exists a sequence  $\delta_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $\int_u^v x_{\delta_n}''(z) - y(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \to 0$  for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  with u < v and some  $y \in L^{\infty}$  w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure. By the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$x(u+h) - x(u) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( x_{\delta_n}(u+h) - x_{\delta_n}(u) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( h x'_{\delta_n}(u) + \int_u^{u+h} \int_u^v x''_{\delta_n}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}v \right)$$
$$= \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} h x'_{\delta_n}(u) \right) + \int_u^{u+h} \int_u^v y(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}v$$

for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and h > 0. In particular, x is differentiable with  $x'(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} x'_{\delta_n}(t)$  and second weak derivative x'' = y, i.e.  $x \in W^{2,\infty}$ . This shows that  $D_L^s = W^{2,\infty}$ . As an application of Proposition 2.7, it follows that  $S(t)x \in W^{2,\infty}$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in W^{2,\infty}$ . Notice that we do not assume that  $\underline{\sigma} > 0$ , which is a standard assumption in PDE theory for obtaining regularity results in Hölder spaces (cf. Lieberman [24, Chapter XIV] and Peng [33, Appendix C, §4] for a short survey).

## APPENDIX A. SOME AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section, we list some basic properties for convex operators and semigroups, which can be found, for example, in [13].

**Lemma A.1** (cf. [13, Corollary A.4]). Let  $S: X \to X$  be a bounded and convex operator. Then, S is Lipschitz on bounded subsets, i.e., for every r > 0, there exists some L > 0 such that  $||Sx - Sy|| \le L||x - y||$  for all  $x, y \in B(0, r)$ .

**Lemma A.2** (cf. [13, Corollary 2.4]). Let T > 0 and  $x_0 \in X$ . Then, there exist  $L \ge 0$  and r > 0 such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|S(t)y - S(t)z\| \le L\|y - z\|$$

for all  $y, z \in B(x_0, r)$ .

**Corollary A.3** (cf. [13, Corollary 2.5]). The map  $[0, \infty) \to X$ ,  $t \mapsto S(t)x$  is continuous for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \geq 0$  and  $x \in X$ . Then, by Corollary A.2, there exist  $L \geq 0$  and r > 0 such that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t+1]} ||S(s)y - S(s)x|| \le L||y - x||$$

for all  $y \in B(x,r)$ . Moreover, there exists some  $\delta \in (0,1]$  such that  $||S(h)x - x|| \le r$  for all  $h \in [0,\delta]$ . For  $s \ge 0$  with  $|s-t| \le \delta$  it follows that

$$\|S(t)x-S(s)x\|=\|S(s\wedge t)S(|t-s|)x-S(s\wedge t)x\|\leq L\|S(|t-s|)x-x\|\to 0$$
 as  $s\to t$ .

**Proposition A.4** (cf. [13, Proposition 2.7]). Let  $x \in X$  with

$$\sup_{h \in (0,h_0]} \left\| \frac{S(h)x - x}{h} \right\| < \infty \quad \text{for some } h_0 > 0.$$

Then, the map  $[0,\infty) \to X$ ,  $t \mapsto S(t)x$  is locally Lipschitz continuous, i.e., for every T > 0, there exists some  $L_T \ge 0$  such that  $||S(t)x - S(s)x|| \le L_T |t-s|$  for all  $s, t \in [0,T]$ .

### Appendix B. Directional derivatives of convex operators

In this section, we provide some fundamental results on directional derivatives of convex operators. Again, we are in the standard setting of the paper, i.e. X is a Banach lattice which is a Riesz subspace of a Dedekind  $\sigma$ -complete Riesz space  $\bar{X}$ . Let M be the space of all positive linear functionals  $\mu \colon X_{\delta} \to \mathbb{R}$  which are continuous from above. We assume that M separates the points of  $X_{\delta}$ .

**Lemma B.1.** Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence in X. If  $(y_n)_n$  and  $(z_n)_n$  are decreasing sequences in X which are bounded from below such that  $||x_n - y_n|| \to 0$  and  $||x_n - z_n|| \to 0$ , then  $\inf_n y_n = \inf_n z_n$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $\mu \in M$ . Since  $\mu$  is continuous on X, see e.g. [1, Theorem 9.6], one has

$$\mu(y_n - z_n) = \mu(y_n - x_n) + \mu(x_n - z_n) \to 0,$$

which shows that

$$\mu\left(\inf_{n} y_{n}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu y_{n} + \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(z_{n} - y_{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu z_{n} = \mu\left(\inf_{n} z_{n}\right).$$

Since  $\inf_n y_n$ ,  $\inf_n z_n \in X_\delta$  and M separates the points of  $X_\delta$ , it follows that  $\inf_n y_n =$  $\inf_n z_n$ .

**Lemma B.2.** Let  $S: X \to X$  be a convex monotone operator which is continuous from above. Then, it has a unique monotone convex extension  $S\colon X_\delta \to X_\delta$  which is continuous from above.

*Proof.* For each  $\mu \in M$ , the convex monotone functional  $\mu S \colon X \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous from above. Thus, by [12, Lemma 3.9], it has a unique extension to a convex monotone functional  $\mu S \colon X_{\delta} \to \mathbb{R}$  which is continuous from above.

Fix  $x \in X_{\delta}$ . For  $(x_n)_n$  and  $(y_n)_n$  in X with  $x_n \downarrow x$  and  $y_n \downarrow x$ , one has

$$\mu\Big(\inf_n Sx_n\Big) = \inf_n \mu Sx_n = \mu S\Big(\inf_n x_n\Big) = \mu S\Big(\inf_n y_n\Big) = \inf_n \mu Sy_n = \mu\Big(\inf_n Sy_n\Big),$$

so that  $Sx := \inf_n Sx_n$  is well defined as M separates the points of  $X_\delta$ . Then, S is convex and continuous from above as

$$\mu\Big(\inf_{n} Sx_{n}\Big) = \inf_{n} \mu Sx_{n} = \mu Sx$$

for every  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X_\delta$  with  $x_n \downarrow x \in X_\delta$ . Moreover, if  $\tilde{S}$  is another extension which is continuous from above, then  $\tilde{S}x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{S}x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sx_n = Sx$  for every  $(x_n)_n$ in X with  $x_n \downarrow x \in X_{\delta}$ , which shows that such an extension is unique.

Let  $S: X \to X$  be a convex operator. Then, the function

$$\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}\to X,\quad h\mapsto \frac{S(x+hy)-Sx}{h}$$

is increasing for all  $x, y \in X$ . Hence, for all  $x \in X$ , the operators

$$S'_{+}(x)y := \inf_{h>0} \frac{S(x+hy) - Sx}{h}$$
 and  $S'_{-}(x)y := \sup_{h<0} \frac{S(x+hy) - Sx}{h}$  (B.1)

for  $y \in X$  are well-defined with values in  $\bar{X}$  since

$$S'_+(x)y = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{S(x+h_ny) - Sx}{h_n} \in X_\delta \quad \text{and} \quad S'_-(x)y = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{Sx - S(x-h_ny)}{h_n} \in -X_\delta$$

for every sequence  $(h_n)_n$  in  $(0,\infty)$  with  $h_n\to 0$ . The following properties follow directly from the definition.

Remark B.3. For all  $x, y \in X$  one has

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} \ \ S'_{-}(x)y = -S'_{+}(x)(-y), \\ \text{(ii)} \ \ S'_{-}(x)y \leq S'_{+}(x)y, \\ \text{(iii)} \ \ S'_{+}(x)y = S'_{-}(x)y = Sy, \ \text{if} \ S \ \text{is linear}. \end{array}$

If  $S: X \to X$  is a convex monotone operator which is continuous from above, then by Lemma B.2 it has a unique convex monotone extension  $S: X_{\delta} \to X_{\delta}$  which is continuous from above. Therefore,  $S(x + hy) \in X_{\delta}$  for all  $y \in X_{\delta}$  and h > 0. Hence,  $S'_{+}(x)$  extends to

$$S'_{+}(x) \colon X_{\delta} \to X_{\delta}, \quad y \mapsto \inf_{h>0} \frac{S(x+hy) - Sx}{h}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma B.4.** Let  $S: X \to X$  be a convex monotone operator which is continuous from above. For every  $x \in X$ , the mapping  $S'_{+}(x)$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $S'_{+}(x)y \leq S_{x}y$  for all  $y \in X_{\delta}$ ,

- (i) S<sub>+</sub>(x)y ≤ S<sub>x</sub>y for an y ∈ X<sub>δ</sub>,
  (ii) S'<sub>+</sub>(x): X<sub>δ</sub> → X<sub>δ</sub> is convex and positive homogeneous,
  (iii) S'<sub>+</sub>(x) is continuous from above,
  (iv) S(x+h<sub>n</sub>y<sub>n</sub>)-Sx / h<sub>n</sub> ↓ S'<sub>+</sub>(x)y, for all sequences (h<sub>n</sub>) in (0,∞) and (y<sub>n</sub>) in X<sub>δ</sub> which satisfy h<sub>n</sub> ↓ 0 and y<sub>n</sub> ↓ y ∈ X<sub>δ</sub>.

*Proof.* (i) For every  $y \in X_{\delta}$ , one has  $S'_{+}(x)y \leq S(x+y) - S(x) = S_{x}(y)$ .

(ii) For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu \in M$ , and  $\lambda \in [0,1]$  there exists some h > 0 such that

$$\mu(\lambda S'_{+}(x)y_{1} + (1 - \lambda)S'_{+}(x)y_{2}) + \varepsilon$$

$$\geq \lambda \frac{\mu S(x + hy_{1}) - \mu S(x)}{h} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mu S(x + hy_{2}) - \mu S(x)}{h}$$

$$\geq \frac{\mu S(x + h(\lambda y_{1} + (1 - \lambda)y_{2})) - \mu S(x)}{h} \geq \mu S'_{+}(x) (\lambda y_{1} + (1 - \lambda)y_{2}).$$

This shows that  $S'_{+}(x)$  is convex on  $X_{\delta}$ . Moreover, for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $y \in X_{\delta}$  it holds

$$S'_{+}(x)(\lambda y) = \inf_{h>0} \frac{S(x+\lambda hy) - Sx}{h} = \lambda \inf_{h>0} \left( \frac{S(x+\lambda hy) - Sx}{\lambda h} \right) = \lambda S'_{+}(x)y.$$

(iii) For every  $y_n \downarrow y$  one has

$$\inf_{n} S'_{+}(x)y_{n} = \inf_{h>0} \inf_{n} \frac{S(x+hy_{n}) - S(x)}{h} = \inf_{h>0} \frac{S(x+hy) - S(x)}{h} = S'_{+}(x)y.$$

(iv) Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\mu \in M$ . By definition of  $S'_{+}$  and continuity from above of  $S_{+}$ there exist  $n_0, m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu S'_{+}(x)y + 2\varepsilon \ge \frac{\mu S(x + h_{n_0}y) - \mu Sx}{h_{n_0}} + \varepsilon$$

$$\ge \frac{\mu S(x + h_{n_0}y_{m_0}) - \mu Sx}{h_{n_0}} \ge \frac{\mu S(x + h_{n_1}y_{n_1}) - \mu Sx}{h_{n_1}}$$

for  $n_1 := n_0 \vee m_0$ . This shows that  $\frac{S(x+h_ny_n)-Sx}{h_n} \downarrow S'_+(x)y$ 

# References

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border. Infinite dimensional analysis. A hitchhiker's guide. Springer, Berlin, third edition, 2006.
- [2] M. Avellaneda, A. Levy, and A. Parás. Pricing and hedging derivative securities in markets with uncertain volatilities. Applied Mathematical Finance, 2(2):73-88, 1995.
- [3] V. Barbu. Nonlinear differential equations of monotone types in Banach spaces. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [4] P. Bénilan and M. G. Crandall. Completely accretive operators. In Semigroup theory and evolution equations (Delft, 1989), volume 135 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., pages 41-75. Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [5] H. Brézis. Monotonicity methods in Hilbert spaces and some applications to nonlinear partial differential equations. In Contributions to nonlinear functional analysis (Proc. Sympos., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1971), pages 101–156. Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [6] F. Coquet, Y. Hu, J. Mémin, and S. Peng. Filtration-consistent nonlinear expectations and related g-expectations. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 123(1):1-27, 2002.

- [7] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P.-L. Lions. User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 27(1):1–67, 1992.
- [8] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett. Generation of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 93:265–298, 1971.
- [9] M. G. Crandall and P.-L. Lions. Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277(1):1–42, 1983.
- [10] L. Denis, M. Hu, and S. Peng. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-Brownian motion paths. *Potential Anal.*, 34(2):139–161, 2011.
- [11] L. Denis, M. Hu, and S. Peng. Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G-brownian motion paths. *Potential Analysis*, 34(2):139–161, 2011.
- [12] R. Denk, M. Kupper, and M. Nendel. Kolmogorov-type and general extension results for nonlinear expectations. Banach Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 12(3):515–540, 2018.
- [13] R. Denk, M. Kupper, and M. Nendel. Convex semigroups on L<sup>p</sup>-like spaces. Preprint, 2019.
- [14] R. Denk, M. Kupper, and M. Nendel. A semigroup approach to nonlinear Lévy processes. Stochastic Process. Appl., 130(3):1616–1642, 2020.
- [15] L. G. Epstein and S. Ji. Ambiguous Volatility and Asset Pricing in Continuous Time. Rev. Financ. Stud., 26(7):1740–1786, 2013.
- [16] L. C. Evans. Nonlinear semigroup theory and viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi PDE. In Non-linear semigroups, partial differential equations and attractors (Washington, D.C., 1985), volume 1248 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 63–77. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [17] L. C. Evans. Partial differential equations, volume 19 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2010.
- [18] W. H. Fleming and H. M. Soner. Controlled Markov processes and viscosity solutions, volume 25 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [19] J. Hollender. Lévy-Type Processes under Uncertainty and Related Nonlocal Equations. PhD thesis, TU Dresden, 2016.
- [20] M. Hu and S. Peng. G-lévy processes under sublinear expectations. Preprint, 2009.
- [21] T. Kato. Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 19:508-520, 1967.
- [22] N. V. Krylov. Sobolev and viscosity solutions for fully nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations, volume 233 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [23] F. Kühn. Viscosity solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations associated with sublinear Lévy(-type) processes. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat., 16(1):531-559, 2019.
- [24] G. M. Lieberman. Second order parabolic differential equations. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [25] P.-L. Lions. Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, volume 69 of Research Notes in Mathematics. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London, 1982.
- [26] P. Meyer-Nieberg. Banach lattices. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [27] M. Nendel and M. Röckner. Upper envelopes of families of Feller semigroups and viscosity solutions to a class of nonlinear Cauchy problems. Preprint arXiv:1906.04430, 2019.
- [28] A. Neufeld and M. Nutz. Nonlinear Lévy processes and their characteristics. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 369(1):69–95, 2017.
- [29] M. Nisio. On a non-linear semi-group attached to stochastic optimal control. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 12(2):513–537, 1976/77.
- [30] A. Pazy. Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, volume 44 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [31] S. Peng. G-expectation, G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type. In Stochastic analysis and applications, volume 2 of Abel Symp., pages 541–567. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [32] S. Peng. Multi-dimensional G-Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G-expectation. Stochastic Process. Appl., 118(12):2223–2253, 2008.
- [33] S. Peng. Nonlinear expectations and stochastic calculus under uncertainty, volume 95 of Probability Theory and Stochastic Modelling. Springer, Berlin, 2019. With robust CLT and G-Brownian motion.
- [34] H. Pham. Continuous-time stochastic control and optimization with financial applications, volume 61 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [35] H. H. Schaefer. Banach lattices and positive operators. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 215.
- [36] J. Vorbrink. Financial markets with volatility uncertainty. J. Math. Econom., 53:64-78, 2014.

[37] J. Yong and X. Y. Zhou. Stochastic controls, volume 43 of Applications of Mathematics (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. Hamiltonian systems and HJB equations.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF KONSTANZ, GERMANY *Email address*: robert.denk@uni-konstanz.de

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz, Germany  $\it Email\ address$ : kupper@uni-konstanz.de

Center for Mathematical Economics, Bielefeld University, Germany  $Email\ address$ : max.nendel@uni-bielefeld.de