

# Well-Posedness for Singular McKean-Vlasov Stochastic Differential Equations <sup>\*</sup>

Xing Huang <sup>a)</sup>, Feng-Yu Wang <sup>a),b)</sup>

a)Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

xinghuang@tju.edu.cn

b)Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

wangfy@tju.edu.cn

December 18, 2020

## Abstract

By using Zvonkin's transform and the heat kernel parameter expansion with respect to a frozen SDE, the well-posedness is proved for a McKean-Vlasov SDE with distribution dependent noise and singular drift, where the drift may be discontinuous in both weak topology and total variation distance, and is bounded by a linear growth term in distribution multiplying a locally integrable term in time-space. This extends existing results derived in the literature for distribution independent noise or time-space locally integrable drift.

AMS subject Classification: 60H1075, 60G44.

Keywords: McKean-Vlasov SDEs, Wasserstein distance, weighted total variational distance, heat kernel parameter expansion.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For  $\theta \geq 1$ , let

$$\mathcal{P}_\theta = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P} : \|\gamma\|_\theta := \gamma(|\cdot|^\theta)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} < \infty\},$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by NNSFC (11771326, 11831014, 11801406, 11921001) and the DFG through the CRC 1283.

which is a Polish space under the  $L^\theta$ -Wasserstein distance  $\mathbb{W}_\theta$ :

$$\mathbb{W}_\theta(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma})} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^\theta \pi(dx, dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}, \quad \gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{P}_\theta,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma})$  is the set of all couplings of  $\gamma$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  is a complete metric space under the weighted variational norm

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\theta, TV} := \sup_{|f| \leq 1 + |\cdot|^\theta} |\mu(f) - \nu(f)|, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\theta.$$

By [15, Theorem 6.15], there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \|\mu - \nu\|_{TV} + \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu, \nu) \leq \kappa \|\mu - \nu\|_{\theta, TV},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{TV} := \|\cdot\|_{0, TV}$  is the total variation norm.

Consider the following distribution dependent SDE on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$(1.2) \quad dX_t = b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dt + \sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

for some fixed time  $T > 0$ , where  $W_t$  is an  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space  $(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{X_t}$  is the law of  $X_t$ , and

$$b : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^m$$

are measurable. This type equations, known as McKean-Vlasov or mean field SDEs, have been intensively investigated and applied, see for instance the monograph [3] and references therein.

In this paper, we investigate the well-posedness of (1.2) with  $b_t(x, \mu)$  singular in  $x$  and Lipschitz continuous in  $\mu$  merely under  $\|\cdot\|_{\theta, TV}$ . To measure the time-space singularity of  $b_t(x, \mu)$ , we introduce the following class

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ (p, q) : p, q > 1, \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 \right\}.$$

For any  $t > s \geq 0$ , we write  $f \in \tilde{L}_p^q([s, t])$  if  $f : [s, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable with

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([s, t])} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \int_s^t \left( \int_{B(z, 1)} |f(u, x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} du \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

where  $B(z, 1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - z| \leq 1\}$  is the unit ball at point  $z$ . When  $s = 0$ , we simply denote

$$\tilde{L}_p^q(t) = \tilde{L}_p^q([0, t]), \quad \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q(t)} = \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([0, t])}.$$

We will adopt the following assumption.

(A) Let  $\theta \geq 1$ .

(A<sub>1</sub>) There exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_t(x, \mu)\|^2 \vee \|(\sigma_t \sigma_t^*)^{-1}(x, \mu)\| &\leq K, \\ \|\sigma_t(x, \mu) - \sigma_t(y, \nu)\| &\leq K(|x - y| + \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu, \nu)), \\ \|\{\sigma_t(x, \mu) - \sigma_t(y, \mu)\} - \{\sigma_t(x, \nu) - \sigma_t(y, \nu)\}\| &\leq K|x - y| \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

(A<sub>2</sub>) There exists nonnegative  $f \in \tilde{L}_p^q(T)$  for some  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{X}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_t(x, \mu)| &\leq (1 + \|\mu\|_\theta) f_t(x), \\ |b_t(x, \mu) - b_t(x, \nu)| &\leq f_t(x) \|\mu - \nu\|_{\theta, TV}, \quad t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.** (1) *It is easy to see that the third inequality in (A<sub>1</sub>) holds if  $\sigma_t(x, \mu)$  is differentiable in  $x$  with*

$$\|\nabla \sigma_t(\cdot, \mu)(x) - \nabla \sigma_t(\cdot, \nu)(x)\| \leq K \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu, \nu), \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_\theta, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Indeed, this implies*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{\sigma_t(x, \mu) - \sigma_t(y, \mu)\} - \{\sigma_t(x, \nu) - \sigma_t(y, \nu)\}\| \\ &= \left\| \int_0^1 \{\nabla_{x-y} \sigma_t(y + s(x-y), \mu) - \nabla_{x-y} \sigma_t(y + s(x-y), \nu)\} ds \right\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla_{x-y} \sigma_t(y + s(x-y), \mu) - \nabla_{x-y} \sigma_t(y + s(x-y), \nu)\| ds \leq K|x - y| \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Let  $\sigma \sigma^*$  be uniformly positive definite. When the noise coefficient  $\sigma_t(x, \mu) = \sigma_t(x)$  does not depend on  $\mu$ , the well-posedness of (1.2) has been presented in [14] for  $b_t(\cdot, \mu) \in \tilde{L}_p^q(T)$  for some  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $b_t(x, \cdot)$  being weakly continuous and Lipschitz continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_{\theta, TV}$ , and in [9] for  $b = \bar{b} + \hat{b}$  with  $\bar{b}(\cdot, \mu) \in L_p^q(T)$  for some  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $\hat{b}_t(x, \mu)$  having linear growth in  $x$ , and  $b_t(x, \cdot)$  being Lipschitz continuous in  $\|\cdot\|_{TV} + \mathbb{W}_\theta$ . In these conditions, the continuity of  $b_t(x, \mu)$  in  $\mu$  is stronger than that presented in (A<sub>2</sub>) where  $b_t(x, \mu)$  is allowed to be discontinuous in both  $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$  and the weak topology. When  $\sigma = \sqrt{2}I_d$  (where  $I_d$  is the  $d \times d$  identity matrix) and  $b_t(x, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K_t(x - y) \mu(dy)$  with  $K \in \tilde{L}_p^q(T)$ , the well-posedness of (1.2) is proved in [14] for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{X}$ , while the weak existence is presented in [19] for some  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 2$ . When  $\sigma_t(x, \mu)$  has linear functional derivative in  $\mu$  which is Lipschitz continuous in the space variable uniformly in  $\mu$  and  $t$ , the well-posedness is derived in [21] for  $b_t(\cdot, \mu) \in \tilde{L}_p^q(T)$  uniformly in  $\mu$  for some  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{X}$ , while in [5] for  $b_t(x, \mu)$  being bounded and Lipschitz continuous in  $\mu$  under  $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ . See also [1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 7, 12, 13, 16, 20] for earlier results on the well-posedness under different type or stronger conditions. Comparing with conditions in [5, 21], (A<sub>2</sub>) allows  $b_t(x, \mu)$  to have linear growth in  $\mu$  and (A<sub>1</sub>) does not require  $\sigma_t(x, \mu)$  having linear functional derivative in  $\mu$ . To include drifts with linear growth in the space variable, we hope that the first inequality in (A<sub>2</sub>) could be weakened as*

$$|b_t(x, \mu)| \leq (1 + \|\mu\|_\theta)(K|x| + f_t(x))$$

for some constant  $K > 0$  and  $f \in \tilde{L}_p^q(T)$ . But with this condition there is essential difficulty in the proof of Lemma 2.4 below on the heat kernel expansion.

Let  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{P}$ . We call (1.2) well-posed for initial distributions in  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ , if for any  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -measurable random variable  $X_0$  with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$  and any  $\mu_0 \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}$ , (1.2) has a unique solution starting at  $X_0$  as well as a unique weak solution starting at  $\mu_0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume (A). Then (1.2) is well-posed for initial distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\theta+} := \bigcap_{m>\theta} \mathcal{P}_m$ , and the solution satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_X \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , the space of continuous maps from  $[0, T]$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  under the metric  $\mathbb{W}_\theta$ . Moreover,*

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t|^\theta \right] < \infty.$$

Note that a Lipschitz function with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\theta, TV}$  may be discontinuous in the weak topology and the total variation norm, for instance,  $F(\mu) := \mu(f)$  with  $f := h + |\cdot|^\theta$  for some bounded and discontinuous measurable function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . So, this result extends existing well-posedness results derived in the above mentioned references.

To prove Theorem 1.2, besides Zvonkin's transform, Krylov's estimate and stochastic Gronwall's inequality used in [14], we will also apply the heat kernel parameter expansion with respect to a frozen SDE. This expansion is useful in the study of heat kernel estimates for distribution dependent SDEs and has been recently used in [10] to estimate the Lion's derivative of the solution to (1.2) with distribution dependent noise.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains necessary preparations including some estimates on the map  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$  in (2.2) below induced by (2.1) with a fixed distribution parameter  $\mu$ , replacing  $\mathcal{L}_X$  in the drift term of (1.2). To derive these estimates, the heat kernel parameter expansion with respect to a frozen SDEs is used. With these preparations we prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

## 2 Preparations

For any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , let  $C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  be the set of all continuous map from  $[s, t]$  to  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$  under the metric  $\mathbb{W}_\theta$ . For  $\mu \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$ , we consider the following SDE with initial distribution  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,s}^{\gamma,\mu}} = \gamma$  and fixed measure parameter  $\mu_t$  in the drift:

$$(2.1) \quad dX_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu} = b_t(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}, \mu_t)dt + \sigma_t(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}, \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}})dW_t, \quad t \in [s, T].$$

According to Lemma 2.1 below,  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$  imply the strong and weak well-posedness of (2.1) for initial distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ , and the solution satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,\cdot}^{\gamma,\mu}} \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ . Consider the map

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma : C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta) \rightarrow C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta); \quad \Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu) := \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}}, \quad t \in [s, T], \mu \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta).$$

It is easy to see that if  $\mu_s = \gamma$  and  $\mu$  is a fixed point of  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$  (i.e.  $\Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu) = \mu_t, t \in [s, T]$ ), then  $(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu})_{t \in [s, T]}$  is a solution of (1.2) with initial distribution  $\gamma$  at time  $s$ .

To prove the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point for  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ , we investigate the contraction of this map with respect to the complete metric

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} := \sup_{r \in [s,t]} \|\mu_r - \nu_r\|_{\theta,TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in C([s,t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T$$

in a subspace of  $C([s,t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  which contains all distributions of solutions to (2.1) up to time  $t$ . To this end, in the following we first study the  $\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}$ -estimate on  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$  for

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{r \in [s,t]} \mathbb{W}_\theta(\mu_r, \nu_r), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \mu, \nu \in C([s,t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta),$$

then present  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ -invariant subspaces of  $C([s,t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , and finally study the  $\|\cdot\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}$ -contraction of this map in such an invariant subspace which implies the well-posedness of (1.2).

## 2.1 $\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}$ -estimate on $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ .

For any  $N > 0$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N} &= \{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta : \|\gamma\|_\theta \leq N\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t} &:= \{\mu \in C([s,t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta) : \|\mu_r\|_\theta \leq N, r \in [s,t]\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume (A).*

- (1) *For any  $s \in [0, T)$  and  $\mu \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , (2.1) is well-posed for initial distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ , and the unique solution satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,\cdot}^{\gamma,\mu}} \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ .*
- (2) *There exist a constant  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  and a function  $K : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , the map  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma : C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta) \rightarrow C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  satisfies*

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) \leq K_N(t-s)^\epsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}, \quad N > 0, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t}.$$

*Proof.* (1) Simply denote

$$b_t^\nu(x) = b_t(x, \nu_t), \quad \sigma_t^\nu(x) = \sigma_t(x, \nu_t), \quad \nu \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta), t \in [s, T].$$

Let  $X_{s,s}^\gamma$  be  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,s}^\gamma} = \gamma$ , and let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,T}$  for some  $N > 0$ . For  $\bar{\nu}, \bar{\mu} \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , consider the SDEs

$$(2.3) \quad dX_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,\bar{\mu}} = b_t^\mu(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,\bar{\mu}})dt + \sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,\bar{\mu}})dW_t, \quad X_{s,s}^{\gamma,\mu,\bar{\mu}} = X_{s,s}^\gamma, \quad t \in [s, T],$$

$$(2.4) \quad dX_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,\bar{\nu}} = b_t^\nu(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,\bar{\nu}})dt + \sigma_t^{\bar{\nu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,\bar{\nu}})dW_t, \quad X_{s,s}^{\gamma,\nu,\bar{\nu}} = X_{s,s}^\gamma, \quad t \in [s, T].$$

According to [19], both SDEs are well-posed under assumption (A). For any  $\lambda \geq 0$ , consider the following PDE for  $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}}(\sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}})^* \nabla^2 u_t) + \{\nabla u_t\} b_t^\mu + b_t^\mu = \lambda u_t, \quad t \in [s, T], u_T = 0.$$

According to [17, Theorem 3.1], for large enough  $\lambda > 0$  depending on  $N$  via  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta, N}^{s, T}$ , (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>) imply that (2.5) has a unique solution  $\mathbf{u}^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}$  satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta, N}^{s, T}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\|_{\tilde{L}_q^p(T)} \leq L$$

for some constant  $L > 0$  depending on  $\lambda$  and  $N$ , and

$$(2.7) \quad \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta, N}^{s, T}} (\|\mathbf{u}^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\|_{\infty}) \leq \frac{1}{5}.$$

Let  $\Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(x) = x + \mathbf{u}_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(x)$ ,  $(t, x) \in [s, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . By [17, Lemma 4.1 (iii)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) &= \lambda \mathbf{u}_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) dt + (\{\nabla \Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\} \sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}})(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) dW_t, \\ d\Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) &= [\lambda \mathbf{u}_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}} + \{\nabla \Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\}(b_t^{\nu} - b_t^{\bar{\nu}})](X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [\text{Tr}\{\sigma_t^{\bar{\nu}}(\sigma_t^{\bar{\nu}})^* - \sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}}(\sigma_t^{\bar{\mu}})^*\} \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}](X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) + (\{\nabla \Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\} \sigma_t^{\bar{\nu}})(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

where by (2.7) and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta}$  the first equation implies  $\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [s, T]} |X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}|^{\theta}] < \infty$ , so that

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{L}_{X_{s, \cdot}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}} \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_{\theta}), \quad \bar{\mu} \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_{\theta}).$$

Moreover, combining these two equations with **(A)**, we find a constant  $c_1 > 1$  depending on  $N$  such that  $\eta_{s,t} := |X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}} - X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}|$  satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} c_1^{-1} \eta_{s,t} &\leq |\Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) - \Theta_t^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})| \\ &\leq c_1 \int_s^t \left\{ \eta_{s,r} + \|\mu_r - \nu_r\|_{\theta, TV} (1 + f_r(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{W}_{\theta}(\bar{\mu}_r, \bar{\nu}_r) \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})\| \right\} dr + \left| \int_s^t \Xi_r dW_r \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Xi_r := (\{\nabla \Theta_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\} \sigma_r^{\bar{\mu}})(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) - (\{\nabla \Theta_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\} \sigma_r^{\bar{\nu}})(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})$  satisfies

$$(2.10) \quad \|\Xi_r\| \leq c_1 \eta_{s,r} + c_1 \mathbb{W}_{\theta}(\bar{\mu}_r, \bar{\nu}_r) + c_1 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) - \nabla \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})\|.$$

Since  $\eta_{s,s} = 0$ , by (2.9), (2.10) and (A1), for  $2m > \theta$ , we find a constant  $c_2 > 0$  depending on  $N$  and a local martingale  $(M_t)_{t \in [s, T]}$  such that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_{s,t}^{2m} &\leq c_2 \int_s^t \eta_{s,r}^{2m} dA_r + c_2 \int_s^t \mathbb{W}_{\theta}(\bar{\mu}_r, \bar{\nu}_r)^{2m} dr \\ &\quad + c_2 \|\mu - \nu\|_{s, t, \theta, TV}^{2m} \left| \int_s^t (1 + f_r(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}})) dr \right|^{2m} + M_t, \quad t \in [s, T] \end{aligned}$$

holds for

$$A_t := \int_s^t \left\{ 1 + K^2 + \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}\|(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[ (\mathcal{M} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}|)(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}) + (\mathcal{M} |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}_r^{\lambda, \mu, \bar{\mu}}|)(X_{s,r}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}) \right]^2 \Big\} dr, \\
\mathcal{M}g(x) & := \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} g(y) dy, \quad g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d), \\
B(x,r) & := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x-y| \leq r\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

By Krylov's and Khasminskii's estimates [17, (4.1),(4.2)] and (2.6), and applying the stochastic Gronwall inequality [18, Lemma 3.8], we find constants  $c_3 > 0$  depending on  $N$  such that (2.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \{\mathbb{W}_\theta(\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, \bar{\nu}}})\}^{2m} \leq (\mathbb{E}\eta_{s,t}^\theta)^{\frac{2m}{\theta}} \\
& \leq c_3 \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{W}_\theta(\bar{\mu}_r, \bar{\nu}_r)^{2m} dr + \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}^{2m} (t-s)^{2m\epsilon} \right), \quad t \in [s, T]
\end{aligned}$$

for some  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Taking  $\mu = \nu$  gives

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}}, \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\nu}}}) \leq \{c_3(t-s)\}^{\frac{1}{2m}} \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}), \quad t \in [s, T].$$

Letting  $t_0 = \frac{1}{2c_4}$ , we conclude from this and (2.8) that the map

$$\bar{\mu} \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,\cdot}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}}$$

is contractive in  $C([s, (s+t_0) \wedge T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  under the complete metric  $\mathbb{W}_{s, (s+t_0) \wedge T, \theta}$ . Therefore, it has a unique fixed point  $\bar{\mu} = \mathcal{L}_{X_{s,\cdot}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}} \in C([s, (s+t_0) \wedge T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , so that  $X_{s,\cdot}^{\gamma, \mu, \bar{\mu}}$  is the unique solution of (2.1) up to time  $(s+t_0) \wedge T$ . Due to this and the well-posedness of (2.3), the modified Yamada-Watanabe principle [9, Lemma 2.1] also implies the well-posedness of (2.1) up to time  $(s+t_0) \wedge T$  for initial distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_\theta$ . So, if  $s+t_0 \geq T$  then we have proved the first assertion. Otherwise, by the same argument we may consider (2.1) from time  $s+t_0$  to conclude that it is well-posed up to time  $(s+2t_0) \wedge T$ . Repeating finite many times we prove the well-posedness of (2.1) up to time  $T$ .

(2) By taking  $\bar{\mu} = \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu)$ ,  $\bar{\nu} = \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)$  in (2.12), and applying Gronwall's inequality, we find a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $N$  such that

$$\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu))^{2m} \leq C(t-s)^{2m\epsilon} \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}^{2m}, \quad t \in [s, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t}.$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 2.2 Invariant subspaces of $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume (A). There exists a constant  $N_0 > 0$  such that for any  $N \geq N_0$  and  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$ , the class*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma} := \left\{ \mu \in C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta) : \mu_s = \gamma, \sup_{r \in [s,t]} (1 + \|\mu_r\|_\theta) e^{-N(r-s)} \leq 2(1 + \|\gamma\|_\theta) \right\}$$

is  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ -invariant, i.e.  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$  implies  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu) \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$ .

*Proof.* Simply denote  $\xi_r = X_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu}$ ,  $M_r = \int_s^r \sigma_u(\xi_u, \mathcal{L}_{\xi_u}) dW_u$ ,  $r \in [s, T]$ . By **(A)**, (2.1), and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi_r| e^{-N(r-s)} &\leq |\xi_0| e^{-N(r-s)} + e^{-N(r-s)} \int_s^r (1 + \|\mu_u\|_\theta) f_u(\xi_u) du + e^{-N(r-s)} |M_r| \\ &\leq |\xi_0| e^{-N(r-s)} + 2(1 + \|\gamma\|_\theta) \int_s^r e^{-N(r-u)} f_u(\xi_u) du + e^{-N(r-s)} |M_r|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $q' \in (1, q)$  such that  $(p, q') \in \mathcal{K}$ . Combining this with Krylov's estimate [17, (4.1),(4.2)], the BDG inequality, and  $\|\sigma\sigma^*\| \leq K$ , we find a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.13) \quad e^{-N(r-s)} \|\Phi_{s,r}^\gamma(\mu)\|_\theta &= e^{-N(r-s)} (\mathbb{E}|\xi_r|^\theta)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &\leq e^{-N(r-s)} \|\gamma\|_\theta + 2(1 + \|\gamma\|_\theta) \left( \mathbb{E} \left| \int_s^r e^{-N(r-u)} f_u(\xi_u) du \right|^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} + e^{-N(r-s)} (\mathbb{E}|M_r|^\theta)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &\leq e^{-N(r-s)} \|\gamma\|_\theta + c_1(1 + \|\gamma\|_\theta) (\|e^{-N(r-\cdot)} f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^{q'}([s,r])} + e^{-N(r-s)} \sqrt{r-s}), \quad r \in [s, t]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that Hölder's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T], r \in [s, T]} \|e^{-N(r-\cdot)} f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^{q'}([s,r])} &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T], r \in [s, T]} \left( \int_s^r e^{-N(r-u) \frac{qq'}{q-q'}} du \right)^{\frac{q-q'}{qq'}} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([T])} \\ &\leq \left( N \frac{qq'}{q-q'} \right)^{-\frac{q-q'}{qq'}} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q(T)}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s \in [0, T], r \in [s, T]} (\|e^{-N(r-\cdot)} f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^{q'}([s,r])} + e^{-N(r-s)} \sqrt{r-s}) = 0.$$

Combining this with (2.13), we find a constant  $N_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{r \in [s, t]} (1 + \|\Phi_{s,r}^\gamma(\mu)\|_\theta) e^{-N(r-s)} \leq 2(1 + \|\gamma\|_\theta), \quad N \geq N_0, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}.$$

That is,  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu) \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$  for  $N \geq N_0$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$ . □

### 2.3 $\|\cdot\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}$ -contraction of $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ .

To prove the  $\|\cdot\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}$ -contraction of  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$ , for any  $\mu \in C([s, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , we make use of the parameter expansion of  $p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}$  with respect to the heat kernel of a frozen SDE whose solution is a Gaussian Markov process, where  $p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(x, \cdot)$  is the distribution density function of the unique solution to the SDE

$$(2.14) \quad dX_{s,t}^{x,\gamma,\mu} = b_t(X_{s,t}^{x,\gamma,\mu}, \mu_t) dt + \sigma_t(X_{s,t}^{x,\gamma,\mu}, \Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu)) dW_t, \quad t \in [s, T], \quad X_{s,s}^{x,\gamma,\mu} = x.$$

According to [19], **(A)** is enough to ensure the well-posedness of this SDE. By the standard Markov property of solutions to (2.14), the solution to (2.1) satisfies

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbb{E}f(X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(x,y)dy, \quad t > s, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the class of bounded measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

For any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [s, T]$  and  $\mu \in C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , let  $p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, \cdot)$  be the distribution density function of the random variable

$$X_{s,r}^{x,\gamma,\mu,z} := x + \int_s^r \sigma_u(z, \Phi_{s,u}^\gamma(\mu))dW_u, \quad r \in [s, t], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let

$$(2.16) \quad a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z} := \int_s^r (\sigma_u \sigma_u^*)(z, \Phi_{s,u}^\gamma(\mu))du, \quad r \in [s, t].$$

Then

$$(2.17) \quad p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) = \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2}\langle (a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z})^{-1}(y-x), y-x \rangle]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}(\det\{a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z}\})^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \in (s, t].$$

Obviously,  $(A_1)$  implies

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z} - a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\nu,z}\| &\leq K(r-s)\mathbb{W}_{s,r,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)), \\ \frac{1}{K(r-s)} &\leq \|(a_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{K}{r-s}, \quad r \in [s, t]. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $r \in [s, t]$  and  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , let

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z) &:= \langle -b_r(y, \mu_r), \nabla p_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, z)(y) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\{(\sigma_r \sigma_r^*)(z, \Phi_{s,r}^\gamma(\mu)) - (\sigma_r \sigma_r^*)(y, \Phi_{s,r}^\gamma(\mu))\} \nabla^2 p_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, z)(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

By **(A)**, we have the parameter expansion formula

$$(2.20) \quad p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(x, z) = p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, z) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z)p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y)dy,$$

where  $H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  are defined by

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,1} &:= H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu}, \\ H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z) &:= \int_r^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_{u,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m-1}(z', z)H_{r,u}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z')dz', \quad m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (2.20) follows from the parabolic equations for the heat kernels  $p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}$  and  $p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}$ , see for instance the paragraph after [11, Lemma 3.1] for an explanation.

Let

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{p}_{s,r}^K(x, y) = \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{4K(r-s)}|y-x|^2]}{(4K\pi(r-s))^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, r \in (s, t].$$

By multiplying the time parameter with  $T^{-1}$  to make it stay in  $[0, 1]$ , we deduce from [21, (2.3), (2.4)] with  $\beta = \beta' = 1$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{8KT}$  that

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{p}_{s,r}^K(x, y')(r-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_r(y')(t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{r,t}^{2K}(y', y) dy' \\ & \leq c(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{2}{q})} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x, y) \|g\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([s,t])}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, g \in \tilde{L}_p^q([s, t]) \end{aligned}$$

holds for some constant  $c > 0$  depending on  $T, d, p, q$  and  $K$ . By  $(A_1)$  and (2.17), there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$(2.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(x, y) \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^4}{(t-s)^2}\right) \\ & \leq c_1 \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y), \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta, \mu \in C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Assume  $(A_1)$ . There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$ , and  $\mu, \nu \in C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ ,*

$$(2.25) \quad \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t-s}\right) |p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(x, y) - p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, z}(x, y)| \leq c \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)),$$

$$(2.26) \quad \sqrt{t-s} |\nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(\cdot, y)(x)| + (t-s) \|\nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(\cdot, y)(x)\| \leq c \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y),$$

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{t-s} |\nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(\cdot, y)(x) - \nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, z}(\cdot, y)(x)| \\ & + (t-s) \|\nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(\cdot, y)(x) - \nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, z}(\cdot, y)(x)\| \\ & \leq c \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $F(a, \mu) = \langle (a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1}(y-x), y-x \rangle$  and  $F(a, \nu)$  be defined with  $\nu$  in place of  $\mu$ . It is easy to see that

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & |p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \mu, z}(x, y) - p_{s,t}^{\gamma, \nu, z}(x, y)| \\ & = \left| \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2}F(a, \mu)]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\det\{a_{s,t}^{\mu,z}\})^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2}F(a, \nu)]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\det\{a_{s,t}^{\nu,z}\})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{|\exp[-\frac{1}{2}F(a, \mu)] - \exp[-\frac{1}{2}F(a, \nu)]|}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\det\{a_{s,t}^{\mu,z}\})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ & \quad + \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2}F(a, \nu)]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left| (\det\{a_{s,t}^{\mu,z}\})^{-\frac{1}{2}} - (\det\{a_{s,t}^{\nu,z}\})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ & =: I_1 + I_2, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > s. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (A<sub>1</sub>) which implies (2.18), we find a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(a, \mu) - F(a, \nu)| &= |\langle \{(a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1} - (a_{s,t}^{\nu,z})^{-1}\}(y - x), y - x \rangle| \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{|y - x|^2}{t - s} \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.24) yields that for some constant  $c_2 > 0$ ,

$$\left(1 + \frac{|x - y|^2}{t - s}\right) I_1 \leq c_2 \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)).$$

Next, by (2.18) and (2.24), we find a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{|x - y|^2}{t - s}\right) I_2 \leq c_3 \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)).$$

Combining these with (2.28), we arrive at

$$\left(1 + \frac{|x - y|^2}{t - s}\right) |p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) - p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(x, y)| \leq (c_2 + c_3) \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)).$$

(2) By (2.17) we have

$$(2.29) \quad \nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, y)(x) = (a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1}(y - x) p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y),$$

$$(2.30) \quad \nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, y)(x) = p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) \left( \{(a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1}(y - x)\} \otimes \{(a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1}(y - x)\} - (a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1} \right)$$

So, by (2.18) and (2.24) we find a constant  $c > 0$  such that (2.26) holds. Moreover, (2.29) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, y)(x) - \nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(\cdot, y)(x)| \\ &\leq |\{(a_{s,t}^{\mu,z})^{-1} - (a_{s,t}^{\nu,z})^{-1}\}(y - x)| p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) + |\{p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) - p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(x, y)\}(a_{s,t}^{\nu,z})^{-1}(y - x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.18), (2.24) and (2.25), we find a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$|\nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, y)(x) - \nabla p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(\cdot, y)(x)| \leq \frac{c \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu))}{\sqrt{t - s}} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y).$$

Similarly, combining (2.30) with (2.18), (2.24) and (2.25), we find a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\|\nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(\cdot, y)(x) - \nabla^2 p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(\cdot, y)(x)\| \leq \frac{c \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu))}{t - s} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, y).$$

Therefore, (2.27) holds for some constant  $c > 0$ . □

For  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\theta$  and  $\mu, \nu \in C([s, t]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , let

$$(2.31) \quad \Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu, \nu) = \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) + \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume (A). Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\delta &= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{2}{q} \right) > 0, \\ S_{s,t}^\mu &:= \sup_{r \in [s,t]} (1 + \|\mu_r\|_\theta), \\ S_{s,t}^{\mu,\nu} &:= S_{s,t}^\mu \vee S_{s,t}^\nu, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant  $C \geq 1$  such that for any  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ ,  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$ , and  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$(2.32) \quad |H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z)| \leq f_s(y) (CS_{s,t}^\mu)^m (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta(m-1)} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x, y),$$

$$(2.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z) - H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,m}(y, z)\| \\ & \leq m f_s(y) (CS_{s,t}^{\mu,\nu})^m (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta(m-1)} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x, y) \Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (1) By (2.19), (2.26) and (A1)-(A2), we find a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,  $\mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  and  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(2.34) \quad |H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z)| \leq c_1 (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \{(1 + \|\mu_s\|_\theta) f_s(y)\} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(y, z).$$

So, (2.32) holds for  $m = 1$  and  $C = c_1$ . Thanks to [21, (2.3), (2.4)] with  $\beta = \beta' = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{1}{8K}$ , we have

$$(2.35) \quad \begin{aligned} I_k &:= \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (t-u)^{\delta(k-1)} \tilde{p}_{u,t}^{2K}(y, z) f_u(y) (u-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{s,u}^K(x, y) dy du \\ &\leq c_2 (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x, z) (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{d}{p} - \frac{2}{q})} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([s,t])} (t-s)^{\delta(k-1)} \\ &= c_3 (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x, z) (t-s)^{\delta k}. \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T, k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_3 := c_2 \|f\|_{\tilde{L}_p^q([s,t])}$ . Let  $C := 1 \vee c_1^2 \vee (4c_3^2)$ . If for some  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$|H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k}(y, z)| \leq (CS_{s,t}^\mu)^k f_s(y) \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(y, z) (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta(k-1)}$$

for all  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , then by combining with (2.34) and (2.35), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k+1}(y, z)| &\leq \int_s^t du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H_{u,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k}(z', z) H_{s,u}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z')| dz' \\ &\leq C^k \sqrt{C} (S_{s,t}^\mu)^{k+1} f_s(y) I_k \\ &\leq C^{k+1} (S_{s,t}^\mu)^{k+1} f_s(y) (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \delta k} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.32) holds for all  $m \geq 1$ .

(2) By (2.26), (2.27), (2.18) and (A1)-(A2), we find a constant  $c > 0$  such that for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  and  $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & |H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z) - H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu}(y, z)| \\ & \leq c (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(y, z) S_{s,t}^{\mu,\nu} f_s(y) (\|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} + \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu))) \end{aligned}$$

Let, for instance,  $L = 1 + 4C^2 + 4c^2$ , where  $C$  is in (2.32). If for some  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$\|H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k}(z', z) - H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,k}(z', z)\| \leq k(LS_{s,t}^{\mu,\nu})^k f_s(z') \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(z', z) (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta(k-1)} \Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu, \nu),$$

for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$  and  $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then (2.32), (2.35) and (2.36) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k+1}(y, z) - H_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu,k+1}(y, z)\| \\ & \leq \int_s^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \|H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,k}(z', z) - H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\nu,k}(z', z)\| \cdot |H_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z')| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\nu,k}(z', z)| \cdot \|H_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu}(y, z') - H_{s,r}^{\gamma,\nu}(y, z')\| \right\} dz' \\ & \leq (k+1)(LS_{s,t}^{\mu,\nu})^{k+1} f_s(y) \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(y, z) (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\delta k} \Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (2.33) holds for some constant  $C > 0$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the following main result in this part, which ensures the  $\|\cdot\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}$ -contraction of  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$  for small  $t-s$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Assume (A). There exist constants  $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and a function  $\phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\|\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu) - \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} \leq \phi(N)(t-s)^\varepsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} \gamma (1 + |\cdot|^\theta)$$

holds for any  $N > 0, s \in [0, T], t \in [s, (s + \varepsilon_0 N^{-1/\delta}) \wedge T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1, for any  $N > 0$ , we find a constant  $\phi_1(N) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) \\ & \leq \phi_1(N)(t-s)^\varepsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.24), Lemma 2.3-Lemma 2.4, (2.20), (2.23) and  $(A_2)$ , we find  $\phi_2(N) > 0$  such that for  $\varepsilon := \varepsilon \wedge \delta$  and  $\varepsilon_0 := (2C)^{-1/\delta}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(x, z) - p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu}(x, z)| \\ & \leq c\tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, z) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z) - H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\nu,m}(y, z)| p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(x, y) dy \\ & \quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_s^t dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |H_{r,t}^{\gamma,\mu,m}(y, z)| |p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\mu,z}(x, y) - p_{s,r}^{\gamma,\nu,z}(x, y)| dy \\ & \leq c\tilde{p}_{s,t}^K(x, z) \mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu), \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (m+1)(CN)^m \Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu, \nu) (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}+\delta(m-1)} \\ & \quad \times \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{r,t}^{2K}(y, z) f_r(y) (r-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{p}_{s,r}^K(x, y) dy dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c\tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x,z)\mathbb{W}_{s,t,\theta}(\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu),\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)) \\
&\quad + (t-s)^\delta\Lambda_{s,t,\gamma}(\mu,\nu)\tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x,z)\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(m+1)(CN)^m(t-s)^{\delta(m-1)} \\
&\leq\phi_2(N)(t-s)^\varepsilon\|\mu-\nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}\tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x,z), \quad x,z\in\mathbb{R}^d
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $N > 0, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq (s + \varepsilon_0 N^{-1/\delta}) \wedge T$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}$ , and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}$ . So, by (2.22) and the definitions of  $\Phi_{s,t}^\gamma$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\theta,TV}$ , we find a constant  $\phi(N) > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu) - \Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\nu)\|_{\theta,TV} \\
&= \sup_{|g| \leq 1 + |\cdot|^\theta} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}(x,z) dz \gamma(dx) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) p_{s,t}^{\gamma,\nu}(x,z) dz \gamma(dx) \right| \\
&\leq \phi_2(N)(t-s)^\varepsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |z|^\theta) \tilde{p}_{s,t}^{2K}(x,z) dz \gamma(dx) \\
&\leq \phi(N) \gamma(1 + |\cdot|^\theta) (t-s)^\varepsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}, \quad t \in [s, (s + \varepsilon_0 N^{-1/\delta}) \wedge T].
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof is finished.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

To prove Theorem 1.2 using the contraction result Lemma 2.5, we need the following priori-estimates on the solution of (1.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume (A) and let  $m > \theta$ . Then there exists a constant  $N_1 > 0$  such that for any  $s \in [0, T)$ ,  $X_{s,s} \in L^m(\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{F}_s, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $T' \in (0, T]$ , a solution  $(X_{s,t})_{t \in [s, T']}$  of (1.2) with initial value at  $X_{s,s}$  from  $s$  up to  $T'$  satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \in [s, T']} (1 + |X_{s,t}|^2)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \right] \leq e^{N_1 T'} \left( \mathbb{E}(1 + |X_{s,s}|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{m}}, \quad t \in [s, T'].$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may and do assume that  $s = 0$  and denote  $X_t = X_{0,t}$ . By Itô's formula, (A<sub>2</sub>) and the boundedness of  $\sigma$ , there exists a constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{m}{2} (1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} (2\langle X_t, b_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) \rangle dt + \|\sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})\|_{HS}^2 dt) \\
&\quad + \frac{m}{4} \left( \frac{m}{2} - 1 \right) (1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}-2} |\sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t})^* X_t|^2 dt \\
&\quad + m(1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \langle X_t, \sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) dW_t \rangle \\
&\leq mK(1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}} f_t(X_t) dt + (1 + \|\mathcal{L}_{X_t}\|_\theta)^m f_t(X_t) dt + c_2 dt \\
&\quad + m(1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}-1} \langle X_t, \sigma_t(X_t, \mathcal{L}_{X_t}) dW_t \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Krylov's estimate and Khasminskii's estimate [17, (4.1),(4.2)], (A1)-(A2) and the stochastic Gronwall inequality [18, Lemma 3.8] yield

$$\left( \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T']} (1 + |X_t|^2)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{\frac{m}{\theta}} \leq e^{N_1 T'} \mathbb{E} (1 + |X_0|^2)^{\frac{m}{2}}$$

for some constant  $N_1 > 0$  independent of  $X_0$  and  $T' \in [0, T]$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Since (1.3) is implied by Lemma 3.1, we only prove the well-posedness of (1.2) for initial distributions in  $\mathcal{P}_m$  for some  $m > \theta$ . Since according to [17] the assumption **(A)** implies the well-posedness of the SDE

$$dX_t^\mu = b_t^\mu(X_t^\mu) + \sigma_t^\mu(X_t^\mu)dW_t, \quad X_0^\mu = X_0$$

for  $\mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_\theta)$  and  $\mathbb{E}|X_0|^\theta < \infty$ , by the modified Yamada-Watanabe principle [9, Lemma 2.1] we only need to prove the strong well-posedness of (1.2) with an initial value  $X_0$  such that  $E|X_0|^m < \infty$ .

(1) Let  $N_0$  and  $N_1$  be in Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 respectively. Take

$$(3.1) \quad N = \max \left\{ N_0, e^{N_1(1+T)} \mathbb{E} (1 + |X_0|^2)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \right\}.$$

Since  $N \geq N_0$ , Lemma 2.2 implies

$$\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma : \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.5, there exists constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $N$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\mu) - \Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma(\nu)\|_{s,t,\theta,TV} \\ & \leq C(t-s)^\varepsilon \|\mu - \nu\|_{s,t,\theta,TV}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,t,\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}, t \in [s, (s + \varepsilon_0 N^{-\frac{1}{\delta}}) \wedge T]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $t_0 \in (0, \varepsilon_0 N^{-\frac{1}{\delta}})$  such that  $Ct_0 < 1$ , we conclude that  $\Phi_{s,\cdot}^\gamma$  is contractive in  $\mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{s,(s+t_0) \wedge T, \gamma}$  for any  $s \in [0, T)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}$ . Below we prove that this implies the existence and uniqueness of solution of (1.2).

(2) Let  $s = 0$  and  $\gamma = \mathcal{L}_{X_0}$ . By (1) and the fixed point theorem, there exists a unique  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{0,t_0 \wedge T, \gamma}$  such that  $\mu_t = \Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu)$  for  $t \in [0, t_0 \wedge T]$ . Combining this with the definition of  $\Phi_{s,t}^\gamma(\mu)$ , we conclude that  $X_{s,t}^{\gamma,\mu}$  is a solution of (1.2) up to time  $t_0 \wedge T$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that the distribution of a solution to (1.2) is a fixed point of the map  $\Phi_{0,\cdot}^\gamma$ , and by Lemma 3.1 and (3.1) a solution of (1.2) up to time  $t_0 \wedge T$  must in the space  $\mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{0,t_0 \wedge T, \gamma}$ . Therefore, (1.2) has a unique solution up to time  $t_0 \wedge T$ .

(3) If  $t_0 \geq T$  then the proof is done. Assume that for some integer  $k \geq 1$  the equation (1.2) has a unique solution  $(X_t)_{t \in [0, kt_0]}$  up to time  $kt_0 \leq T$ , we take  $s = kt_0$  and  $\gamma = \mathcal{L}_{X_{kt_0}}$ . By Lemma 3.1 and (3.1), we have  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}$ , so that  $\Phi_{kt_0, \{(k+1)t_0\} \wedge T}^\gamma$  is contractive in  $\mathcal{P}_{\theta,N}^{kt_0, \{(k+1)t_0\} \wedge T, \gamma}$ . Hence, as explained in (2) that the SDE (1.2) has a unique solution from time  $s = kt_0$  up to  $\{(k+1)t_0\} \wedge T$ . This together with the assumption we conclude that (1.2) has a unique solution up to time  $\{(k+1)t_0\} \wedge T$ . In conclusion, we have proved the existence and uniqueness of solution to (1.2).  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, *Existence and Regularity of Solutions to Multi-Dimensional Mean-Field Stochastic Differential Equations with Irregular Drift*, arXiv:1912.05932.
- [2] M. Bauer, T. M-Brandis, F. Proske, *Strong Solutions of Mean-Field Stochastic Differential Equations with irregular drift*, arXiv:1806.11451.
- [3] P. Cardaliaguet, F. Delarue, J.-M. Lasry, P.-L. Lions, *The Master Equation and the Convergence Problem in Mean Field Games*, Princeton University Press, 2019.
- [4] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, *Strong well-posedness of McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation with Hölder drift*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 130(2020), 79-107.
- [5] P. E. Chaudru de Raynal, N. Frikha *Well-posedness for some non-linear diffusion processes and related pde on the wasserstein space*, arXiv:1811.06904.
- [6] D. Crisan, E. McMurray, *Smoothing properties of McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 171(2018), 97-148.
- [7] X. Huang, M. Röckner, F.-Y. Wang, *Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations for probability measures on path space and path-distribution dependent SDEs*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A 39(2019), 3017-3035.
- [8] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution dependent SDEs with singular coefficients*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 129(2019), 4747-4770.
- [9] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *McKean-Vlasov SDEs with drifts discontinuous under Wasserstein distance*, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A. doi: 10.3934/dcds.2020336.
- [10] X. Huang, F.-Y. Wang, *Derivative Estimates on Distributions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs*, arXiv:2006.16731.
- [11] V. Konakov, E. Mammen, *Local limit theorems for transition densities of Markov chains converging to diffusions*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 117(2000), 551-587.
- [12] D. Lacker, *On a strong form of propagation of chaos for McKean-Vlasov equations*, arXiv:1805.04476.
- [13] Yu. S. Mishura, A. Yu. Veretennikov, *Existence and uniqueness theorems for solutions of McKean-Vlasov stochastic equations*, arXiv:1603.02212.
- [14] M. Röckner, X. Zhang, *Well-posedness of distribution dependent SDEs with singular drifts*, arXiv:1809.02216. To appear in Bernoulli.
- [15] C. Villani *Optimal Transport, Old and New*, Springer-Verlag, 2009.

- [16] F.-Y. Wang, *Distribution-dependent SDEs for Landau type equations*, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 128(2018), 595-621.
- [17] P. Xia, L. Xie, X. Zhang, G. Zhao,  *$L^q(L^p)$ -theory of stochastic differential equations*, arXiv:1908.01255.
- [18] L. Xie, X. Zhang, *Ergodicity of stochastic differential equations with jumps and singular coefficients*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 56(2020), 175-229.
- [19] X. Zhang, *Stochastic homeomorphism flows of SDEs with singular drifts and Sobolev diffusion coefficients*, Electron. J. Probab. 16(2011), 1096-1116.
- [20] X. Zhang, *Weak solutions of McKean-Vlasov SDEs with supercritical drifts*, arXiv:2010.15330.
- [21] G. Zhao, *On Distribution depend SDEs with singular drifts*, arXiv:2003.04829.